Education as a Strategic Pathway for Social Justice and Equity through Institutional Governance and Community Engagement

Abdi Mahesa[1], Rizal Arfandi[1], Baktiar[1]

[1]Hasanuddin University

*Corresponding Author: Abdi Mahesa
Email: abdimahesa27@gmail.com

Abstract

Since the time of ancient civilization, education has been perceived as an effective channel of promoting social justice and equity, but its contributions have been debatable both in its ability to deconstruct inequalities and its propensity to replicate them. This paper addresses the issue of how education can be used as a transformative tool once equity is used as its core strategy. Using a qualitative method, the study involves teachers, students, parents, administrators, and policymakers in the form of interviews, focus group discussions, classroom observations, and an analysis of documents. The results point to a number of problems that frustrate the good intent of education such as the lack of resources, social discrimination, and unfair policy structures. In the meantime, the study finds the following potentially promising practices to be included: school-community collaboration and affirmative action programmes and teacher training in inclusive pedagogy to be indicators that not only is structural change possible, but also sustainable. The discussion highlights the managerial relevance of making equity central to organizational systems of education, which require redistributive resource policies, culturally responsive leadership, curriculum audits, policy customization, and ethical integration of technology. The study emphasises the importance of understanding equity as an ethical necessity and a strategic necessity by perceiving education as a disciplined institution rather than an apolitical social production. The article also adds to the academic literature on management by providing the framework in which social justice can be used as a measure of institutional legitimacy and efficiency. Lastly, the question of whether education can develop justice, is determined not only by what is desired but also the strategic design of systems, policies and practices aimed at justice, inclusivity and democratic sustainability.

Introduction

Education is traditionally viewed as one of the pillars of inclusive and equitable societies. It is the source of knowledge and experience, source of a set of social values, source of social integration, and source of the reduction of inequalities. Throughout history, education has been used as a means of solving injustice and providing opportunities to people in a society. Through an education, people can become aware of the injustices inherent in the system and take action against them through learning how to think critically and be ethically aware (Dancy & Hodari, 2023). In these radical potential positions, education is put at the center of the process of attaining social justice, which focuses on fairness, access equalities, and structural barriers that deny the marginalized population full membership of society (Meikle, 2023). Although

promising, education tends to replicate the inequalities that exist in society when the access, quality, and outcomes are not uniformly distributed. Children who have poor educational backgrounds in the entire world are always less likely to finish school or receive the same level of education as their affluent counterparts (Vadivel et al., 2023). This recreates the intergenerational patterns of exclusion and social-economic inequality. Where structural inequalities interact with poverty, ethnicity, gender and disability, education systems are likely to perpetuate advantage instead of eradicating it (Marsico, 2021). Therefore, it is timely and needed to study the role of education in promoting or being a barrier to social justice.

Social justice by education has emerged as an urgent international agenda in the modern world. Sustainable Development Goal 4 of the United Nations directly refers to inclusive and equitable quality education to everybody (Mason et al., 2023). However, according to the international surveillance statistics, millions of children do not receive an education, and even those who receive one are subjected to the exclusionary practices, such as a discriminatory school curriculum or a poorly equipped school classroom (Balaj et al., 2021). This is particularly acute in the Global South, where (as in Indonesia) the disparities in infrastructure, selective application of policies, and socio-cultural factors affect access to schooling (Kurnia et al., 2023; Anguelov, 2021). We have to not only undertake certain policy changes in order to fill in some of these gaps, but we must also start redefining the role of education as a more practical tool of equity and empowerment.

The importance of education in supporting social justice can be perceived in various levels. At the individual level, it allows the victims of discrimination to learn, gain confidence, and improve their chances of rising to the top (Bartlett et al., 20222; Cenat et al., 2024). The schools would also be positioned to provide the grounds, on which the various groups can test out the inclusive pedagogies and curriculum to institute mutual respect among the various groups at an institutional level to break the stereotypes (Vella et al., 2022; Thiem & Dasgupta, 2022). At the structural level, the availability of fair education systems can reduce social stratification as opportunities are not restricted by socio-economic background, ethnicity or gender. These functions are not fulfilled automatically. Rather, they rely most on political will, teacher practice and the wider socio-economic context within which education functions.

In Indonesia, education has been positioned to serve as a contributor to nation-building and social change and yet systemic inequalities remain. It has been found that in most rural regions, there are insufficient qualified teachers and learning resources as there are in urban locations (Song, 2023). There have been other claims that the curriculum is overly homogenous and discriminatory against majority histories and minority voices (Sumida & Kawata, 2021). The conditions also highlight the significance of investigating not just how education is supposed to manifest social justice but also how it is practiced. Through examining the interaction between policy, pedagogy and lived experiences, one can better understand the contribution that education actually makes to equity.

The other important dimension is concerned with the role of teachers in being change agents. Teachers can maintain inequities based on inclusionary practices or establish justice based on inclusive and critical pedagogies (Li & Ruppar, 2021). To support this, culturally responsive pedagogy instruction was reported to have a strong and positive impact on the work and participation of underprivileged students (Min et al., 2022). Alternatively, educators that are not involved in diversity or strict education programs are able to perpetuate inequity inadvertently. The teacher therefore plays a critical role in ensuring that education is either a liberating process or a stratifying process.

Education at the societal level is significant in terms of developing civic values and training people to take part in the democratic life. The socially just education is one that fosters

empathy, critical consciousness, and capacity to engage positively in cross-differentiated dialogue (Galdon, 2021). This is important in plural societies were ethnic, religious or class lines can be a source of tension. In these cases, too education can serve as a social bonding agent that brings unity and leads to social cohesion provided it is organized in a manner that makes it inclusive and equitable (Orazani et al., 2023).

However, issues of achieving the full purpose of education in facilitating equity are still significant. Access to good schools is also frequently decided by economic inequalities, and privatization trends of schools are a threat to further separations among the elite and disadvantaged. Further, population weaknesses within structural discrimination embedded in policy and institutional practices are peripheralizing. This two-sided nature of education, as something that could be a leveler and something that could be a reinforcer of inequality, brings us to a paradox, which this paper attempts to investigate further.

The study is important since it fills the gap that exists today between the ideals of education as a means of social justice and the realities of disparity that continue to exist in classrooms and institutions. This study offers context-based explanations of how education can become a true engine of equity by focusing on lived experiences and the views of educators and communities. All these dynamics need to be understood within the context of us not only attempting to enrich the practice of education, but also attempting to build more comprehensive policies which can be aligned to the principles of fairness, inclusivity and justice.

Method

Research Design

This research assumes a qualitative research design based on an interpretivist and critical paradigm. The rationale behind the selection of this design is that it would help to gain deep insights into the way education is used as a means of fostering social justice and equity. A qualitative lens is unlike quantitative methods which emphasize measurement and statistical relationships and enables the study of experiences, perceptions, and practices of education system actors. In this light, this research aims at identifying how policy structures, instructional practice and societal anticipations can converge and diverge in creating fair results.

Study Area and study group

The study was carried out in some chosen schools and educational communities in Indonesia where the problems of access, representation, and equity are especially acute. There were teachers, school administrators, students, parents and local education policy makers making sure that the educational ecosystem is looked at in a whole manner. Participants were selected through purposive sampling based on direct experience with issues of inequality in education, or by their involvement in ongoing activity to respond to those issues. This purposive sampling allowed the investigation to collect rich, varying information at various education system levels.

Data Collection Methods

To achieve depth and triangulation, the collection of data was based on various qualitative approaches. To understand what teachers, administrators, and policymakers do or know about equity and their practices in schools, semi-structured interviews were carried out first. Second, discussion groups of students and parents offered a shared opinion on inclusion and exclusion experiences in education. Third, observation in classrooms and schools gave the researcher the opportunity to observe interactions, pedagogical styles, and resource allocation in the classroom in real time. Lastly, policy, curriculum and school report analysis were used to supplement field data with institutional priorities and institutional barriers.

Data Analysis

Analysis of the collected data was conducted in accordance with a thematic analysis approach, which included the systematic coding of transcripts, notes, and documents and the identification of patterns associated with social justice and equity in education. Coding was open, inductive, in the beginning to capture recurrent concepts in the field. Such codes were further summarized into larger themes that included access, curriculum representation, teacher practices, and policy implementation. The themes were critically understood using theoretical perspectives on social justice (Rawls, Sen, Fraser, Freire), thus connecting the empirical results with existing literature. The data were managed and organized through NVivo software and, therefore, the analytic process was transparent and rigorous.

Result and Discussion

The discussion in this paper has developed out of the theoretical frameworks and historical views discussed above and focuses on the realities of life and practical aspects of education in advancing social justice and equity. Through the analysis of teacher, student, parent, and policymaker voices and institutional practices and policy systems, the findings yield detailed understandings of how education facilitates and limits equity. This part hence outlines the empirical findings that shed light on the impediments encountered in the pursuit of justice in education systems and the encouraging practices that can act as true channels towards equity and encompassment.

Historical Context of Education and Social Justice

Education has been a privilege and tool to determine hierarchies in society. In ancient civilizations schooling was an elite privilege and this system of power sharing served to maintain the illiteracy and ignorance of common people and to elevate the top ranks to the holders of power. In Greece, education was aimed at the development of intellectual and physical skills of young aristocratic males, and females and classes were excluded. In like manner, formal learning in imperial China was limited to the sons of nobles and officials, and entrenched systemic hindrances that limited opportunities to rise in the hierarchy. These first-century trends can demonstrate how education was a gatekeeper rather than a liberating fact and how it reproduces intergenerational inequalities.

These forces were reinforced during the medieval era as education became strongly associated with religious institutions. Theological and philosophical training of clergy was given priority in monasteries and cathedral schools, so that common men and women were left largely uneducated. Although the Renaissance and Enlightenment periods brought in humanist and rationalist concepts which broadened the boundaries of education, the boundaries were still narrow. At the time literacy diffused through the systemic education systems within Europe and North America, race, gender, and class still determined who was most benefit-receptive. One of the interviewees cogitated about these legacies, saying:

> *"We often think of universal education as a modern achievement, but even then, access was never equal. The system was built to favor certain groups, and traces of that inequality remain today."*

This changed in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries when the state-school systems and the laws of compulsory education began to democratize access to learning. These changes were directly associated with social justice movements, particularly in those regions where demands regarding civil rights, gender equality and labor protections redefined popular policy. One of the movements in the US that addressed this issue of desegregation and equality of opportunity

between the African American students and led to a historic ruling was that of the Brown vs. Board of Education (1954). The same battles were played in other countries of the world, where the colonized countries wanted to spread out education and apply it as a means of nation-building to other people other than those who belonged to the elite. But these reforms were not fair as the poor areas were left behind. This continuity was pointed out by another interviewee:

> "Our communities were promised equal education after independence, but in reality, schools in rural areas are still underfunded and overlooked. It feels like history repeating itself."

Even with these improvements, the past still defines the modern educational results. Centuries-old exclusion is reflected in the perpetuation of socio-economic stratification, racial and gender discrimination, and inequitable distribution of school resources. This path is important to understand since it shows that education has been a battleground since time immemorial and can fortify inequality just as much as it can break it down. Placing the current dilemmas in this historical context, the paper highlights the need to restructure education with purpose to achieve its transformative potential of enhancing social justice and equity.

Education as a Pathway to Social Justice

There is a common understanding that education is an effective means of promoting social justice by enabling people to have the knowledge, skills, and critical awareness to act on the inequalities within the system. Basically, education is not only a personal empowerment tool, but also a group empowerment tool. This role is based on ensuring that there is fair access to education. In the past, oppressed groups based on their classes, ethnicities, gender, and disability were not given equal chances to study, which kept it in the cycle. Even though the situation is getting better, current-day statistics indicate that inequality of access has deep roots in under-invested communities. This is because, according to one teacher, in an interview,

> "If education is truly to promote justice, we must first guarantee that every child, regardless of background, can walk through the school door with equal opportunity."

In addition to access, the very curriculum is determinant in enhancing inequality in education or impeding it. Within most traditional curricula, the historical and cultural accounts of minority groups have been pushed to the periphery because of Eurocentric or dominant cultural bias. This kind of underrepresentation can be intimidating to students and can frighten contact and strengthen stereotypes. A move towards culturally sensitive pedagogy and mainstreaming curricula is then necessary. When teachers incorporate different points of view, they not only affirm the identities of students, but also help them to think empathetically and critically across differences. One of the students interviewed in this study said:

> "When I saw lessons include stories from my culture, I felt proud and more motivated. Before, it felt like we were invisible in the classroom."

This underscores the idea that representation in curriculum is affective, rather than symbolic and affects self-esteem and social cohesion.

Although outcomes are equally important, these are the concrete results of education that define whether students are able to flourish despite their conditions. Although bridging achievement disparities is not a new policy agenda, the fact remains, students of disadvantaged status still experience systemic disparity, whether in under-funded schools or in unequal access to higher education and the labor market. Social justice in education requires not only the commitment to equality of inputs, but also to equity of outcomes. This will require special treatment, non-discriminatory policies, and a high expectations culture. This was best captured by an education policymaker interviewed:

"Equity does not mean lowering the bar. It means giving each student the tools and support they need to reach the same high standards."

Lastly, education as a social justice entry point should be seen as going beyond the level of academic achievement. It involves providing individuals with the power to become active citizens who can challenge the unjust, protect their societies, and build inclusive societies. When social justice is the central principle of education, civic engagement, empathy, and ethical awareness are developed. In this regard, schools will not only be learning environments but also places of change, where learners are given the power to drive change. In an interview, one community activist pointed out that,

"The real power of education is not just getting a diploma. It is giving young people the courage to ask: Why is society unequal, and how can we change it?"

It is through these dimensions evident in access, representation in the curriculum, equitable outcomes, and empowerment that education becomes one of the primary avenues to social justice. However, the potential can be actualized only through intentionality, resources, and political will. In their absence, education will only be a continuation of the inequalities that it aims to end.

Education as a Pathway to Social Justice

It is well known that education is a potent tool of promoting social justice by providing individuals with knowledge, skills and critical awareness to address systemic inequalities. Fundamentally, education is not only a way of individual empowerment, but also a system of group empowerment. This role is based on ensuring fair access to education. Marginalized populations (through class, ethnicity, gender, or disability) in the past did not receive equal opportunities to learn, which further perpetuates the cycles of marginalization. Although some of these metrics have improved, the available evidence indicates that access inequality is still more systemic, especially in the under-resourced communities. As one teacher stressed in an interview,

"If education is truly to promote justice, we must first guarantee that every child, regardless of background, can walk through the school door with equal opportunity."

The problem of limited resources is one of the most long-standing obstacles to social justice in education. Rural or marginalized schools may not have sufficient infrastructure, teaching resources and teachers. This relative resource deficit puts students in disadvantaged situations as compared to students in richer areas. The second barrier is rooted in social prejudice where word-of-mouth stereotypical and discriminating actions influence classroom patterns, curriculum, and predictions of student achievement. These stereotypes can alienate students and reduce their self-confidence and contribute to further discrimination. The other hindrance is that there exist unequal policies that can be implemented to make things more accessible but inadvertently, remedy the needs of various types of people in preference over the other types of people or even lack the ability to solve the needs of the disadvantaged groups of people on an individual basis. In an interview with one policymaker,

"We write policies that look good on paper, but in practice, they often fail the very communities they are meant to serve."

Despite these challenges, despite the fact that there are poor practices, there are also good practices that demonstrate that education can help in enabling equity where it is applied positively. We already know that school-community collaboration is a favorable strategy, and cooperation with parents and local leaders and organizations may also contribute to the support of students and their inclusion of learning conditions. The other important intervention is

88

affirmative action programs, the purpose of which is to make more higher education and professional opportunities available to disadvantaged students. Though part of the historical imbalance can be redressed with the help of such programs, it can also open the door to possibilities that would otherwise be closed. Another example of a good practice would be teacher training, which equips teachers with the skills that allow them to adopt inclusive pedagogies, challenge their own stereotypes, and make their classrooms places where diversity is valued. One teacher respondent said,

> *"After receiving training on inclusive methods, I became more aware of my own unconscious bias and found new ways to make every student feel that they belong."*

The next consideration is the outcomes, or the real results of education that define whether students can succeed no matter their conditions or not. Although achievement gap closing was a long-standing policy objective, the fact is that students with disadvantaged backgrounds still have systemic disadvantages, including underfunded schools and unequal access to higher education and the job market. Social justice in education requires more than equality of inputs, but it also requires equity of outcomes. This needs specific encouragement, non-discriminatory policies, and high expectations of all learners. This was said best by one education policymaker interviewed:

> *"Equity does not mean lowering the bar. It means giving each student the tools and support they need to reach the same high standards."*

Finally, education as social justice must be viewed as something greater than school performance. It means empowering an individual to be an active participant in the citizenry who can challenge injustice, serve his or her community, and establish inclusive communities. When education is based on the principles of social justice, civic engagement, empathy, and moral awareness are developed. In this regard, schools are no longer places of learning but places of change as they give the learner the power to make change. As we heard one community activist say in an interview,

> *"The real power of education is not just getting a diploma. It is giving young people the courage to ask: Why is society unequal, and how can we change it?"*

Education is a key route to social justice through these dimensions: access, curriculum representation, equitable outcomes and empowerment. However, to actualize this potential, it takes will, resources and politics. In their absence education runs the danger of being counterproductive to the inequalities it is meant to eliminate.

Managerial Implications of Social Justice Based Education

When thought as part of the larger management of social institutions, education has a long-standing implication in the promotion of justice and equity. The results of the current study confirm that education has transformative potential, but the ability to make change relies on the way education is organized, administered, and guided. Within management terms, schools and universities need to be perceived not only as learning places but as organizational systems, the policies of which, the practices of their leadership, and the allocation of resources, directly define whether they are equalizers or reproducers of inequality (Bess et al., 2023; Akour & Alenezi, 2022). This shift is significant in scope, as it requires the redefinition of the role of educational governance that aligns institutional policy to the requirements of equity.

Among the most important implications is in the area of resources. Equity in education is not possible by shallow accommodation and token gestures; it must be achieved by structural redistribution of material and human resources (Stephenson, 2024). To managers, it involves abandoning a paradigm in which poor schools are supposed to do more with less and thinking

of resource allocation as a strategic investment. Good governance in the region would mean open processes of accountability, equality, and readiness to re-align the allocation based on shifting needs of the community. Those institutions that do not entrench these practices will continue to contribute to structural disadvantage despite their purported inclusivity. Even leadership has to be redefined. Without culturally competent and politically astute leaders, it is not possible to maintain equity in educational institutions. It takes gradual training of such leaders and a reimagination of leadership development programs, the incorporation of justice frameworks into training, and the academic performance of school leaders to be held accountable in terms of equity outcomes (Rawe, 2024). This finds fault with the conventional managerial metrics, which had long placed efficiency and output above justice and fairness. The management research implication is that the definition of leadership effectiveness needs to be revised to encompass the ability to destabilize exclusionary practices and to mobilize various stakeholders to a collective vision of equity.

There are also the implications of curriculum and pedagogy that spill over to the management of organizations. Whether to value knowledge and whose views to take is not a pedagogical issue in isolation but a management issue about what the institution focuses on. Too long have curricula been an expression of dominant culture, with minority voices ignored and thus supporting structural exclusion. Institutional audit of the curricular content, participatory design processes enabling many voices, and systematic educator training are all parts of an equity-based management that should adopt inclusive pedagogies. Such endeavors should be seen as part of institutional quality and not as something that can be added on.

Another level of managerial relevance is policy structures. Some educational management policies have tended to focus on access but overlook the more significant problem of equity of results. What this means is that organizational justice principles should be used to design and implement the policy. Equity based policies should not only be regarding the provision of access to schools and universities but also include the support, recognition and resources that must be provided to the disadvantaged groups to make sure that they perform well in schools and universities. This requires not only that the managers comply with the external policy, but on the other hand, show the initiative to be able to adapt the policies into practices that are not only fair but also effective.

Technology also presents opportunities and threats to equity, and this also needs to be managed very carefully. Educational leaders are becoming more and more inclined to use digital platforms and algorithmic tools to track student performance, distribute resources, or forecast risk. Yet, the tools can cause systemic biases to be duplicated unintentionally and inequalities multiplied unless those tools are carefully observed. The educational management implication is clear and straightforward: equity should be taken as a design principle to use technology, with obligatory audits, consultation with stakeholders, and ethical principles, embedded in the governance structures. Lack of approach to this dimension will turn technological innovation into a new form of exclusion.

Another implication is the role of community engagement. Schools have much greater chances of instilling equity when they work in collaboration with parents, civic organizations and local leaders than when they work alone. The educational management should then be geared towards forging coalitions, coordinating the various resources and participating in decision making. This, in practice, involves the institutionalization of community advisory boards, co-development of programs with local stakeholders, and the treatment of external engagement as a strategic activity of the institution as opposed to a peripheral activity. Equity is maintained not by institutions of support but by ecosystems of support as a whole.

Lastly, the general social consequences of equity-based education should be taken into consideration. Institutions that effectively integrate justice into their management activities do not only lead to student achievement, but also to social unity, economic effectiveness, and political sustainability. On the other hand, institutions moving to equity language without structural reform are likely to undermine any public trust in them and solidify cynicism concerning the purpose of education. In the case of management studies, this highlights the need of assessing institutional legitimacy based on not only efficiency and performance indicators but also on contributions to societal justice. Equity is therefore a moral as well as a strategic requirement of institutions that would like to remain relative and be in power in fast diversifying societies.

Conclusion

This paper confirms that education is a powerful mechanism of promoting social justice and equity, but its achievement is dependent on how well it is operated as an organizational mechanism. Schools and universities need to shift beyond representing ideals to institutionalizing equity in their central managerial operations, and doing so by distributing resources strategically, leading culturally competently, designing inclusive curricula, implementing fair policies, responsibly using technologies and collaborating with local communities. Reconceptualizing equity as a moral and strategic requirement will enable educational institutions to build legitimacy, develop cohesion in society, and improve their influence on society. Finally, justice-based education not only eradicates structural inequalities, but resilient systems may also contribute to not only inclusive development, but also democratic health.

References

Akour, M., & Alenezi, M. (2022). Higher education future in the era of digital transformation. *Education Sciences*, *12*(11), 784. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12110784

Anguelov, D. (2021). *The geopolitical-economy of infrastructure development and financing: Contesting developmental futures in Indonesia*. University of California, Los Angeles.

Balaj, M., York, H. W., Sripada, K., Besnier, E., Vonen, H. D., Aravkin, A., ... & Eikemo, T. A. (2021). Parental education and inequalities in child mortality: a global systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet*, *398*(10300), 608-620. https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.2020.1868303

Bartlett, A., Faber, S., Williams, M., & Saxberg, K. (2022). Getting to the root of the problem: Supporting clients with lived-experiences of systemic discrimination. *Chronic Stress*, *6*, 24705470221139205. https://doi.org/10.1177/24705470221139205

Bess, J. L., Johnstone, D. B., & Dee, J. R. (2023). *Understanding college and university organization: Theories for effective policy and practice: Volume II—Dynamics of the system*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003448457

Cénat, J. M., Darius, W. P., Dalexis, R. D., Kogan, C. S., Guerrier, M., & Ndengeyingoma, A. (2024). Perceived racial discrimination, internalized racism, social support, and self-esteem among Black individuals in Canada: A moderated mediation model. *Cultural Diversity & Ethnic Minority Psychology*, *30*(1), 118.

Dancy, M., & Hodari, A. K. (2023). What a well-intentioned white male physicists maintain ignorance of inequity and justify inaction. *International Journal of STEM Education*, *10*(1), 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-023-00433-8

Galdon, F. (2021). *Designing trust: Evolving models and frameworks towards prospective design futures in highly automated systems*. Royal College of Art (United Kingdom).

Kurnia, A. A., Rustiadi, E., Fauzi, A., Pravitasari, A. E., & Ženka, J. (2023). Probing regional disparities and their characteristics in a suburb of a global south megacity: The case of Bekasi regency, Jakarta Metropolitan region. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, *12*(2), 32. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi12020032

Li, L., & Ruppar, A. (2021). Conceptualizing teacher agency for inclusive education: A systematic and international review. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, *44*(1), 42-59. https://doi.org/10.1177/0888406420926976

Marsico, R. D. (2021). The intersection of race, wealth, and special education: The role of structural inequities in the IDEA. *NYL Sch. L. Rev.*, *66*, 207.

Mason-Williams, L., Bettini, E., Morris Mathews, H., Boveda, M., & Rodgers, W. (2023). Disparities in teachers' access to schools' collective social assets based on role, race, and poverty. *Remedial and Special Education*, *44*(1), 3-15. https://doi.org/10.1177/07419325211068170

Meikle, P. (2023). Social justice as a dimension of university social responsibility. In *Social Inequality-Structure and social processes*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.109792

Min, M., Lee, H., Hodge, C., & Croxton, N. (2022). What empowers teachers to become social justice-oriented change agents? Influential factors on teacher agency toward culturally responsive teaching. *Education and Urban Society*, *54*(5), 560-584. https://doi.org/10.1177/00131245211027511

Orazani, S. N., Reynolds, K. J., & Osborne, H. (2023). What works and why in interventions to strengthen social cohesion: A systematic review. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, *53*(10), 938-995. https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12990

Rawe, W. C. (2024). *Reimagining the Policies, Practices, and Purposes for Leadership Development Programs* (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University).

Song, Z. (2023). Disparity in educational resources between urban and rural areas in China. *Journal of Advanced Research in Education*, *2*(5), 64-69.

Stephenson, J. H. (2024). Understanding the Role of Allyship as a Tool for Organizational Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. In *Allyship in Organizations: A Comprehensive Analysis from a DEI Perspective* (pp. 1-28). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-64961-5_1

Sumida, S., & Kawata, K. (2021). An analysis of the learning performance gap between urban and rural areas in sub-Saharan Africa. *South African journal of education*, *41*(2), 1-17.

Thiem, K. C., & Dasgupta, N. (2022). From precollege to career: Barriers facing historically marginalized students and evidence-based solutions. *Social Issues and Policy Review*, *16*(1), 212-251. https://doi.org/10.1111/sipr.12085

Vadivel, B., Alam, S., Nikpoo, I., & Ajanil, B. (2023). The impact of low socioeconomic background on a child's educational achievements. *Education Research International*, *2023*(1), 6565088. https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6565088

Vella-Brodrick, D. A., & Gilowska, K. (2022). Effects of nature (greenspace) on cognitive functioning in school children and adolescents: A systematic review. *Educational Psychology Review*, *34*(3), 1217-1254. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-022-09658-5