



The Role of Social Interaction in Shaping Social Psychological Dynamics of Community Life

Khaisya Sabina Nazarul Umami¹, Abdul Majid¹, Ridha Alkautsar¹

¹Universitas Indraprasta PGRI

*Corresponding Author: Khaisya Sabina Nazarul Umami

Article Info

Article History:

Received Maret 10, 2025

Revised April 13, 2025

Accepted May 10, 2025

Keywords:

Social Interaction, Social Psychology, Community Life, Cohesion, Management Studies.

Abstract

The paper analyses the role that social interaction plays in forming the social psychological effects of the manner in which societies choose to live binding the impacts of how people relate to the establishment of unity, trust and strength in societies. This paper has used the qualitative approach to conduct research using the in-depth interviews and observation techniques as tools of gathering lived experiences and daily activities that reflect on intricate correlation between patterns in interactions and the well-being of the community. The findings indicate that social interaction concerns not only development of the communication, but a unified perception of identity, a sense of support and adaptability, which determine a high level of importance in a multiethnic and diverse community. The results show that social psychological processes such as solidarity, empathy, collective efficacy, emerge automatically at the end of a long interaction process to create or develop mechanisms to assist in resolving conflicts, in addition to the solution of communal challenges. The problems were also pointed out including the cultural tension, generation gap and lack of shared participation around which the cohesion may be threatened but not dealt with effectively. The findings are significantly applicable in the field of management, as they reiterate the necessity of looking at the connection and the psychology of the community governance and organizational strategizing. With the prominence of social interaction in the frontline, the study will help in facilitating the development of more participatory, adaptive and people-centered approaches to management of community and institutions. The lesson learned by the study is, that sustainable community management cannot be limited merely to structural, economic, interventions, but also must focus on developing solid social psychological processes as an important vehicle to sustained resilience and development.

Introduction

Interpersonal communal interaction is a key factor that determines the composition, harmony and mental health of societies. Community life, be it the urban or rural setting, is not just a group of people but a web of relations in which the shared meanings, practices and values are perpetual negotiation. The interaction between individuals has historically been the focus of sociological and social psychological study, with some of the earliest theorists (Rohall et al., 2024; Calhoun et al., 2022; Cikara et al., 2022) arguing that society exists as a result of mutual interaction and the development of shared symbols. In the absence of continued interaction, communities would be deprived of the psychological glue that holds people together into a sense of belonging and group identity. With this respect, the concept of social interaction in the formation of social psychological processes is germane to defining how societies maintain

cooperation, conflict management, as well as conditions that promote the well-being of individuals and groups. The fact of social interaction is even more significant when it comes to social change in the contemporary situation. The speed at which urbanization takes place, technological change, and heightened diversity have reorganized the patterns of how individuals interact with each other in their everyday lives (Tripathi et al., 2022; Song et al., 2024; Goerl & Vishnoi, 2022). Face-to-face interaction in common areas like markets, places of worship, neighborhood meetings was the basis of trust and solidarity in traditional societies. On the contrary, the development of digital communication, mobile living, and socioeconomic disparities tend to lead to more disaggregated versions of interaction in modern societies. These changes bring up the issues of the sustainability of social cohesion and how psychological processes (trust, belonging, identity, etc.) can be nurtured or disrupted in the modern life of the community.

According to a social psychological perspective, interaction is the dominant process by which people develop their social identities, as well as, collective affiliations. The theories of social identity and symbolic interaction suggest that people gain meaning, security and self-esteem through being part of a group (Correa et al., 2021) and that everyday processes that construct and maintain shared meanings (Chee, 2024). During the process of interacting repeatedly, communities form patterns of trust, cooperation, and recognition to which the members of the community become accustomed, which bring them psychological stability (Ucar et al., 2021). On the other hand, with weak, fragmented, or conflictual interactions, people can become alienated, marginalized, and their social trust eroded.

This also applies to the Indonesian context where social interaction is also important to the life of a community. Being a country of great cultural, ethnic, and religious diversity, Indonesia offers numerous insights into the way in which the relations between groups of people and their everyday interaction determine the cohesion of the community (Mazyra et al., 2024; Hutabarat, 2023). The importance of social interaction in psychological bonding in communities and resilience to adversities can be illustrated through the traditional practices like gotong royong (mutual cooperation). Nevertheless, modernization, migration and social media have brought about new dynamics that are not only enriching but also straining the relationship in the community. Although digital platforms have increased connection opportunities, they have also increased the spread of misinformation and polarization at the expense of trust in the communities (Au et al., 2022; Gaultney et al., 2022; Serrano, 2021; Qureshi, I., & Bhatt, 2024; Einav et al., 2022). This way, the fusion between the traditional and modern styles of interaction becomes key to the changing psychological dynamics of the life of Indonesian communities.

It has already been demonstrated by the previous studies that community resilience, psychological well-being, and collective efficacy are strongly correlated with the quality of social interaction (Ntontis, et al., 2021; Guerra, 2024; Fu & Zhang, 2024). The social capital theories highlight that communities that have high levels of networked interaction are better placed to develop trust and cooperation which translates to improved health outcomes, increased civic engagement as well as elevated problem-solving capacity on a collective basis. communities with weak or fragmented interactions, in contrast, tend to have a higher level of conflict, social isolation, and a low level of collective efficacy. Such active underscores the core nature of daily interaction not just in the processes of forming psychological conditions but also in the processes of defining social developments.

The recent scholarship is still emphasizing how the interactions on a micro level are transduced into macro-level social cohesion. Indicatively, research in multicultural areas has indicated that cross-group contact positively affects interethnic trust and minimizes prejudice and that the

lack of such contact can strengthen stereotypes and social distance. On a similar note, there is evidence that online communities may be a source of psychological support and a sense of belonging and at the same time encourage polarization and the creation of echo chambers (Smith et al., 2024; Oksanen et al., 2024; Bliuc et al., 2021; Oldemburgo et al., 2024). These results highlight the ambiguity of the contemporary forms of interaction that can both facilitate and destroy social psychological cohesion based on their quality and inclusivity.

The importance of examining social interaction in the life of communities can be seen not only in terms of theoretical input but in the terms of practical implication as well. To create interventions that enhance the cohesion of a community, policy makers, community leaders and practitioners must be able to comprehend the processes which involve interactions helping others to build trust, cooperation and belonging. In any environment that is diverse, conflict-laden or changing in terms of social context, inclusive space to interact is invaluable in preventing fragmentation and ensuring resilience (Garebo, 2024; Kuuluvainen, 2022; Lampke & Weber, 2024). In addition, when the world demands more and more of the community, like climatic changes, pandemics, and economic instability, the psychological support that is created through robust social interactions (e.g., trust, solidarity, mutual support) becomes crucial to collective survival and adjustment.

This paper thus locates itself within the wider discussion of the importance of interaction in the development of social psychological dynamics by examining how ordinary interactions build of collective identities, networks of trust and psychological safety in community lives. Through the study of lived experiences and the mechanisms of interaction, it aims to demonstrate how meaning is created, how communities are maintained in action, and how communities meet the challenge of social change in an age of social change. In such a way, it can not only add to the theoretical discussions of the sociological and psychological scopes, but also provide some information on the practical methods of creating stronger, inclusive, and resilient communities (Braun & Clarke, 2023).

Method

Research Design

In this research, the qualitative research design was used to examine the issue of how social interaction influences the development of the social psychology of community life. The qualitative methods have been preferred due to the reason that it provides a possibility to understand meanings, processes, and lived experiences in as much detail as such phenomena cannot be sufficiently described by quantitative measurement. The main aim of the study was to explore the way in which ordinary social interactions produce psychological consequences like trust, belonging and identity in communities. This design was especially efficient in the analysis of subjective realities of participants because it is not based on numerical data and is based on elaborate narratives, observations and interpretations. The research used a case study approach, which gave it an opportunity to study the phenomenon within its natural setting and obtain the complexity of social and psychological processes as they are observed in real-life situations.

Research Site and Context

The study was held within a community with the high level of social interaction and cultural diversity. To ensure that the selected community represented an area with high levels of collective activities and the existence of various ethnic and generational groups, a purposive selection was used. This situation offered an opportune space of analysis of the role of interactional patterns in psychological cohesion and formation of identity. The location was also selected based on its accessibility to the researcher and its ability to reflect the wider social

processes in the Indonesian communities where high rates of modernization intersect with the time-honored activities of mutual cooperation (gotong royong). Placing the research in this specific community allowed the research to shed light not only on the persistence of traditional practice but also the difficulty of the present social changes.

Participants and Sampling

Purposive sampling was used to select the participants, with care taken to ensure that the participants who were included had a first-hand experience of community interaction and could give valuable input on the research problem. There was a sample of community leaders, community elders, youth representatives, and ordinary residents, who participated in the life of the community. Age, gender and role diversity was actively sought to ensure a variety of views on the way in which interaction influences the dynamics of the psychology. Respondents and interviews and discussions lasted till thematic saturation occurred. This sampling technique made sure that the information was based on both the personal stories and the general experiences which would give a complete account of the interactional life of the community.

Data Collection Techniques

Various data collection techniques have been used in order to obtain triangulation and increase the richness of findings. Initially, the semi-structured interviews were carried out in-depth to ensure that personal accounts, feelings and thoughts about social interaction and its effects on psychological life were captured. Such interviews enabled the participants to explain their experiences in their own words and the researcher to explore more on the emerging themes. Second, the focus group discussions (FGDs) were arranged, which allowed examining the collective view and monitor the interactional processes on the level of direct engagement between the participants. Third, observation occurred in the form of participant observation of community events, gatherings and informal meetings and this helped to offer some contextual background of the interaction dynamics in the natural environments. Lastly, the analysis of the primary data was complemented and contextualized with the analysis of other pertinent community documents, meeting records, and cultural artifacts. This mix of approaches helped to make the research based on both lived experience and observable practices.

Data Analysis

Analysis of data took thematic approach designated by commonly used method of qualitative research to discover, examine and assess meaning patterns in data. Transcription and coding of all interviews and focus group discussions were done manually. To find common concepts and categories of social interaction, trust, belonging, and identity, initial open coding was conducted. Axial coding was then used to condense these codes into general themes so that interrelationships among them could be formed. Selective coding was the last phase where the main themes were summarized into a logical stream of analytical narrative that responded to the research objectives. Constant comparison was used to guide the entire process so that themes could be accurate representations of what participants said and what was going on in the community.

Result and Discussion

Following the completion of data collection and thematic analysis, the next section presents the findings that emerged from the fieldwork. The results are organized to highlight the recurring patterns, meanings, and processes identified through interviews, focus group discussions, and participant observations. Each theme reflects the lived experiences of community members and their perceptions regarding how social interactions shape psychological dynamics, collective identity, and resilience in daily life. The presentation of

results not only illustrates empirical evidence derived from participants' narratives but also connects these insights to the broader theoretical framework of social psychology and community management. This structure ensures that the transition from methodological description to analytical interpretation remains coherent and grounded in the voices of the community.

Patterns of Social Interaction

Another of the most striking discoveries of this research is how day-to-day interactions structure the life of a community. The community analyzed was determined to have social interaction integrated into the formal and informal context, incorporating all the group meetings to spontaneous interactions in daily places. Informal contacts, like chats in markets, alleyways in neighborhoods, and our local coffee stores, provided an inherent flow of communication through which the residents were able to sustain tight relationships. Such environments served as daily platforms through which individuals communicated news, discussed issues and reduced the distance between them. As one of participants described,

“Every morning when we meet in the market, we talk not only about buying and selling but also about what happens in our neighborhood. It makes us feel closer, like one big family.”

These daily habits present the way through interaction that familiarity is developed and a sense of belonging is formed. In addition to the informal interactions, formal community activities also contributed a great deal in the development of the social interaction's patterns. Common works (gotong royong) and regular religious meetings, and cultural festivals gave the people of various ages and backgrounds a chance to join hands in realizing common interests. Such activities, in addition to improving cooperation, also strengthened community identity. This was pointed out by one of the elders of the community who said,

“When we clean the mosque together or prepare for a festival, it is not just about the task. It is about showing that we are united, that we can rely on each other.”

This is a more than functional type of interaction, a symbolic action that serves as a claim to solidarity and mental unity among members of the community. Another unique pattern that was formed in the community was generational interactions. The elderly members were usually considered guardians of tradition whereas young members introduced new minds with education, technology, and the outside world. Intergenerational interactions in this way, were negotiations between continuity and change. As an illustration, during storytelling sessions or local events, elders would share the knowledge of the culture and morality, with the young people discussing the topic of modernization and online life. One of the young participants pointed out this dynamic when he said,

“I like listening to the elders, but I also share about how things are different now with social media. Sometimes we don't agree, but these talks help us understand each other.”

These intergenerational interactions were a source of psychological balance as they connected tradition and emerging identities, which guaranteed integration and flexibility. The paper also discovered that online relationships were gaining more significance in supplementing face to face interactions. Community events, exchange of urgent information, and social relationships were all coordinated using social media groups and messaging platforms even though a person was not physically present. Although digital interaction has susceptibly resulted in misunderstanding or dissemination of unverified information, it has still contributed to making the community life extended. One respondent remarked,

“Now we don’t wait until the meeting to know what is going on. With WhatsApp, everyone knows immediately, and we can respond quickly.”

This melding of the old and new forms of interaction reflects the changing nature of community interaction in which both the real world and the online world play a role towards psychological connectivity. Lastly, the social interaction patterns within the community showed a very inclusive but also unsustainable dimension. On the one hand, the cultural practices like open invitations to community events and common celebrations provided areas of inclusiveness where all people had an opportunity to take part. Conversely, some underlying tensions which included ethnic differences, economic disparities and generational differences at times affected the interactional harmony. It was found that even though the majority of activities were characterized by cooperation, some traces of exclusion and conflict were evident in small ways. One of the inhabitants, a middle-aged citizen, had thought of this fact, and said,

“Most of the time we get along, but sometimes people don’t want to join because they feel their group is not fully accepted.”

These instances highlight that while interaction fosters cohesion, it also requires continuous effort to maintain inclusivity and mutual respect.

Social Psychological Dynamics Emerged

The study revealed that social interactions in the community had profound implications for shaping social psychological dynamics, particularly in terms of trust, collective identity, and a sense of belonging. One of the most salient dynamics observed was the development of trust among community members. Trust emerged not as an abstract concept but as a tangible outcome of repeated interactions and shared experiences. Participants described how participating in communal activities and helping one another fostered confidence in the reliability and integrity of neighbors. One resident noted,

“I know that if I need help, my neighbors will come. We have done so many activities together that I trust them like family.”

This consistent pattern of cooperation reinforced mutual dependence and provided a psychological safety net for individuals within the community. Closely related to trust was the emergence of a strong collective identity, which was constructed and maintained through both formal and informal social interactions. Through communal rituals, cultural celebrations, and daily encounters, community members internalized a sense of “we-ness,” perceiving themselves as part of a larger social unit. This collective identity served as a guiding framework for behavior, decision-making, and problem-solving. A community leader emphasized,

“When we gather for ceremonies or festivals, it reminds everyone that we belong to the same place, the same history. This makes our actions more coordinated and respectful of each other.”

These interactions cultivated a shared understanding of norms, values, and expectations, which in turn reinforced the psychological cohesion of the community. Another key social psychological dynamic that emerged was the sense of belonging and emotional connectedness. Participants frequently expressed that participation in communal life generated feelings of inclusion and acceptance. Emotional support, mutual encouragement, and recognition during both everyday encounters and special events contributed to this sense of belonging. A young participant highlighted this aspect, stating,

“I feel that I am part of something bigger than myself. When I help organize events or join discussions, I feel recognized and valued.”

This psychological attachment to the community not only strengthened interpersonal bonds but also enhanced individual well-being, as residents felt that their presence and contributions mattered. The study also observed the negotiation of intergenerational and intercultural identities as a significant dynamic in shaping social psychology. Elders and younger members engaged in dialogues that integrated traditional wisdom with contemporary perspectives, thereby fostering mutual understanding and cognitive flexibility. One elder explained,

“We share our experiences and advice, but we also listen to how young people see the world today. This makes our community stronger because everyone learns from each other.”

Such interactions enabled the community to balance continuity and change, allowing members to feel rooted while also adapting to new social realities.

In addition, collective problem-solving and resilience emerged as psychological outcomes of ongoing social interaction. When challenges arose ranging from local conflicts to community projects members relied on established networks of trust and cooperation to resolve issues effectively. This collaborative problem-solving reinforced individuals’ confidence in communal support systems and enhanced their perception of agency within the group. A participant reflected on this dynamic, saying,

“Whenever there is a problem, we discuss it together. Even if it is difficult, we know that we can face it as a group. That gives me strength.”

Such experiences contributed to a shared psychological resilience, whereby the community could manage uncertainties collectively rather than leaving individuals isolated. Finally, the study identified emerging vulnerabilities and psychological tensions that accompany social interactions. While interactions generally fostered cohesion, occasional conflicts, miscommunication, and subtle exclusion highlighted the fragility of these dynamics. A resident admitted,

“Sometimes not everyone feels included, especially if they are new or from a different background. It makes me worried that some people feel left out.”

These tensions underscored that social psychological dynamics are continuously negotiated and require active efforts to maintain inclusivity, trust, and mutual respect. Overall, the findings suggest that social interaction is not merely a conduit for communication but a foundational mechanism through which psychological dynamics trust, belonging, identity, and resilience are co-constructed, maintained, and occasionally challenged within the community. The emergent social psychological processes reveal a complex interplay between interaction patterns, cultural practices, and individual experiences, highlighting the intricate ways in which community life shapes both collective and individual well-being.

Challenges Identified

Despite the generally positive role of social interaction in fostering cohesion and psychological well-being, the study revealed several challenges that hindered the smooth functioning of community life. One of the most significant challenges identified was intergroup tensions arising from ethnic, cultural, or generational differences. While the community largely practiced inclusive and collaborative interaction, subtle divisions occasionally surfaced. Differences in language, customs, and lifestyles sometimes led to misunderstandings or feelings of exclusion. A middle-aged participant explained,

“Sometimes when people from different backgrounds join the events, there are moments of awkwardness. They don’t always understand our traditions, and it feels like they are outsiders.”

This highlights that even in a closely-knit community, maintaining cohesion requires conscious effort to navigate diversity and bridge social divides. Another challenge that emerged was economic disparity and social inequality, which affected participation and psychological engagement in community activities. Some residents, particularly those with limited financial resources, reported feeling marginalized or hesitant to join communal events that required contributions or physical effort. One participant remarked,

“I want to help in organizing the festival, but sometimes I don’t have enough money or time. It makes me feel a little left out, even though I am part of this community.”

Such economic barriers indicate that social interaction is not always equally accessible, and inequalities can subtly undermine trust, belonging, and collective identity within the community. Communication gaps and misunderstandings were also highlighted as challenges, particularly in the context of increasingly blended traditional and digital interactions. While digital platforms allowed for wider dissemination of information, participants reported that messages were sometimes misinterpreted or led to confusion. A youth participant explained,

“We try to coordinate events on WhatsApp, but sometimes people read messages differently. Small misunderstandings can turn into bigger arguments.”

These issues demonstrate that although technology facilitates interaction, it also introduces new complexities that require careful management to sustain trust and mutual understanding.

Generational conflicts emerged as another key challenge in shaping social psychological dynamics. The study found that while intergenerational dialogue often fostered learning and understanding, it occasionally led to disagreements regarding values, priorities, or decision-making. One elder described this tension:

“The youth have different ideas about how things should be done. Sometimes I feel like they don’t respect the ways we have done things for years.”

Similarly, younger participants expressed frustration with traditions that seemed outdated or restrictive. These generational gaps, if not managed carefully, had the potential to disrupt cohesion and the shared sense of identity that the community relied upon. Finally, the research highlighted external pressures such as modernization, migration, and social change as broader challenges influencing community interaction. Residents noted that the influx of new residents or exposure to urban lifestyles sometimes altered participation patterns and weakened long-standing interactional routines. A participant reflected,

“With new families moving in and more people working outside the village, it feels like our gatherings are smaller. Not everyone is as involved as before, and it worries me about the future of our community traditions.”

These external factors demonstrate that social psychological dynamics are not static; they are continually shaped and challenged by contextual and environmental changes. Overall, the challenges identified in this study underscore that while social interaction is crucial for fostering trust, identity, and belonging, it is simultaneously vulnerable to social, economic, and technological pressures. Maintaining cohesive and psychologically healthy communities requires active management of diversity, equitable access to participation, clear communication, intergenerational understanding, and adaptability to external change. These findings provide important insights into the complexities of sustaining social cohesion and psychological resilience in contemporary community life.

Interaction as the Foundational Mechanism for Building Psychological and Social Capital in Community Management

The implications of this research question management scholars and practitioners to rethink the role of interaction not as a communicative process but as the fundamental management thing by which psychological and social capital gets created in communities. Formal institutions and rules are not all that community life can be maintained, but rather it relies on the micro-processes of daily interaction. This observation is consistent with the argument that social action is situated within networks of relations, and is consistent with more recent arguments that interaction is a resource of management that can generate cohesion, trust and collective efficacy (Opper & Zou, 2024; McDonald et al., 2024; Schilke & Lumineau, 2023). What comes out here is that management, either in organizations or communities, cannot be devolved to structural arrangements or resource distribution; but is a continuing relational accomplishment.

The implication of this practicality is that community administration tactics need to spend in areas of preserving spaces of interaction, both actual and virtual, as an element of preservation of communal identity and trust. Long has management theory acknowledged that cohesion is not simply the result of the like-mindedness of goals but of interaction that has been regularized such that it recreates a sense of belonging (Tran, 2022; Cosano, 2023; Morales, 2023). New empirical data also confirms that participatory practices and interaction rituals help to make organizations more resilient and contribute to the well-being of communities. Emancipated, managers, policymakers and leaders, who aim at strengthening communities, must view interaction as strategic resource to be developed rather than a by-product that can be assumed.

This discovery also makes leadership in community management to be reevaluated. Conventional leadership research tends to focus on decision-making power, vision-setting and command structure (Dickson, 2023; Azizian & Ullah, 2024; Kayyali, 2025). But the findings of this research affirm a more relational and distributed concept of leadership in which legitimacy is based on the capacity to enable communication and create psychological connections. This is similar to the relational leadership literature and community-oriented governance. By creating inclusive spaces to have a dialogue, to be recognized, to share rituals, leaders achieve not only identity, but also allow adaptive reactions to external pressures. Leadership, however, is not as much to do with manipulation of resources, as much as it is organizing relationships.

Another implication is related to diversity and conflict management. The research found out that interaction tends to provide cohesion but it is also a place where latent inequalities and divisions become visible. This tension is what the organizational theorists refer to as the paradox of diversity (Carmin & Smith, 2021; Carmin & De Marchi, 2023) diversity improves creativity and flexibility, but it can also be destructive without proper management. The management approaches cannot merely just embrace diversity in theory they have to offer explicit means to interact inclusively, translate meaning mutually and find ways to resolve conflicts. The applied intervention might encompass participatory decision forums, cross-group joint projects and cultural mediation roles that can be used to have the marginalized voices incorporated into the community interaction.

The implications of the findings also include the managerial implications of intergenerational interaction. The communities are not fixed things; they are not organizations in time, which depend on the transmission of traditions and modification of new practices. The temporal diversity management between older and younger generation witnessed in this study has shown how vital it is to balance the heritage and innovation as developed. This is also the case in organizational settings where conventional practices have to co-exist with disruptive

technologies new work cultures. Therefore, managers should be able to support discussions between the past and future lest the management fixes itself on the past or the change lacks a foundation. This necessitates the development of respect towards the past identity and the justification of experimentalism in future.

Another implication that is pressing is the digital interaction. Although social media is increasing the possibilities of interaction, it poses a threat of miscommunication, polarization, and exclusion (Omipidan & Sanusi, 2024; Omipidan, & Sanusi, 2024; Bhattacharya et al., 2024; Mihalčová et al., 2023). To the community management, it implies that digital infrastructures should be proactively maintained to foster positive communication as opposed to being unattended. The notion of digital governance comes into play in this context: community managers should make the communication regimes, content moderation, and inclusive participation tactics that would guarantee that online interaction does not substitute face-to-face integration. This pushes the managerial work into a hybrid space, in which trust and identity are built both in the real and virtual space. The other implication is in resilience management. Earlier research findings have indicated that communities that have extensive networks of interactions bounce better after a crisis, either a natural disaster or a social conflict (Carrasco et al., 2024). The paper confirms this argument by demonstrating that psychological resilience is a product of daily activities in trust and belonging. The management scholars should thus conceptualize resilience not necessarily in terms of the infrastructure or resources but as a result of a prolonged interaction in socio-psychological terms (Liu & Mostafavi, 2025). Resilience strategies that invest in enabling interaction like community centers, collective rituals and dialogue forums are, in fact, resilience strategies by virtue of the fact that they enhance the relational medium through which collective action is possible during moments of stress.

The research questions managerial strategies according to which community life is a top-down planning issue. It is also hinted at that social psychological cohesion cannot be fostered by only extrinsic means; it needs to be fostered by the experience of living together. This is similar to ideas of complex adaptive systems (Milofsky, 2023), which underscores the fact that order in social systems is generated by local interaction and not centralized control. To the management, it implies an abandonment of command-and-control models and instead facilitative, participatory, and relational models to allow communities to build their own cohesion. The role of the manager is, then, to foster the environment within which positive interactional dynamics can thrive.

Conclusion

This research has shed light on the importance of social interaction in determining the social psychological dynamics of community life, and how interpersonal interaction leads to unity, trust, and adaptability in different settings. It is found that social interaction is not just a mechanism to provide communication but is a background to build shared identity, emotional support, and collective resilience. These processes are particularly crucial in keeping community life alive during the challenges of modernization, the changing cultural norms, and the new inequalities in the socio-economic sphere. As a management implication, it is far-reaching. Social interaction is the intangible infrastructure of the community management where trust, reciprocity, and emotional ties are necessary resources in severe situations and collective action mobilization. Societies which can develop positive social psychology by interacting inclusively tend to maintain cooperation, conflict resolution and creative response to external interference. Thus, the paper contends the importance of the participatory and interactive approaches to community development, social governance and organizational practices. Finally, the study verifies the idea that sustainable community management should

not be based on structural and economic factors, but rather on the psychological and relational aspects of human life as the key elements of the efficient social organization.

References

- Au, C. H., Ho, K. K., & Chiu, D. K. (2022). The role of online misinformation and fake news in ideological polarization: barriers, catalysts, and implications. *Information systems frontiers*, 24(4), 1331-1354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10133-9>
- Azizian, D., & Ullah, A. (2024). Assessing the role of leadership styles in promoting innovation in renewable energy project management.
- Bhattacharya, S., Jahnvi, G., Lal, V., Singh, H., Gupta, P., & Varshney, S. (2024). Untangling the web: The impact of social media miscommunication on workplace dynamics. *Medical Journal of Dr. DY Patil University*, 17(6), 1154-1157.
- Bliuc, A. M., Bouguettaya, A., & Felise, K. D. (2021). Online intergroup polarization across political fault lines: An integrative review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 641215. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.641215>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2023). Is thematic analysis used well in health psychology? A critical review of published research, with recommendations for quality practice and reporting. *Health Psychology Review*, 17(4), 695-718.
- Calhoun, C., Gerteis, J., Moody, J., Pfaff, S., & Virk, I. (Eds.). (2022). *Contemporary sociological theory*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Carmine, S., & De Marchi, V. (2023). Reviewing paradox theory in corporate sustainability toward a systems perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 184(1), 139-158.
- Carmine, S., & Smith, W. K. (2021). Organizational paradox. In *Oxford bibliographies in management*. Oxford University Press.
- Carrasco, S., Ochiai, C., & Tang, L. M. (2024). Social capital and community resilience in the wake of disasters, conflicts and displacements. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 105049. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdr.2024.105049>
- Chee, S. Y. (2024). Age-related digital disparities, functional limitations, and social isolation: unraveling the grey digital divide between baby boomers and the silent generation in senior living facilities. *Aging & mental health*, 28(4), 621-632. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2023.2233454>
- Cikara, M., Martinez, J. E., & Lewis Jr, N. A. (2022). Moving beyond social categories by incorporating context in social psychological theory. *Nature Reviews Psychology*, 1(9), 537-549. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44159-022-00079-3>
- Correa, T., Pavez, I., & Contreras, J. (2021). Digital inequality and mobiles: opportunities and challenges of relying on smartphones for digital inclusion in disadvantaged contexts. *Handbook of digital inequality*, 62-74. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781788116572.00011>
- Cosano, R. M. (2023). *Embodying Abortion: Approaches to Sexual and Reproductive Experiences of the Cairene Youth* (Master's thesis, The American University in Cairo (Egypt)).
- Dickson, R. K. (2023). The changing paradigm of leadership in a 21st century global business environment. *IISTE-European Journal of Business and Management*, 15(14), 46-56.

- Einav, G., Allen, O., Gur, T., Maaravi, Y., & Ravner, D. (2022). Bursting filter bubbles in a digital age: Opening minds and reducing opinion polarization through digital platforms. *Technology in Society*, 71, 102136.
- Fu, Q., & Zhang, X. (2024). Promoting community resilience through disaster education: Review of community-based interventions with a focus on teacher resilience and well-being. *PLoS one*, 19(1), e0296393. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0296393>
- Garebo, O. (2024). Challenges to building social cohesion in conflict-laden areas. *Journal of Global Faultlines*, 11(2), 198-237.
- Gaultney, I. B., Sherron, T., & Boden, C. (2022). Political Polarization, Misinformation, and Media Literacy. *Journal of media literacy education*, 14(1), 59-81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03906701.2021.1947953>
- Goel, R. K., & Vishnoi, S. (2022). Urbanization and sustainable development for inclusiveness using ICTs. *Telecommunications Policy*, 46(6), 102311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.telpol.2022.102311>
- Guerra Bernal, A. M. (2024). A complexity approach to understand the mechanisms for advancing collective efficacy to collective effectiveness in well-being interventions.
- Hutabarat, F. (2023). Navigating diversity: Exploring religious pluralism and social harmony in Indonesian society. *European Journal of Theology and Philosophy*, 3(6), 6-13.
- Kayyali, M. (2025). Chatbots for Leadership Support: Decision-Making and Advisory Roles. In *Chatbots in Educational Leadership and Management* (pp. 127-154). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-8734-4.ch006>
- Kuuluvainen, S. (2022). Designing Diverse and Inclusive Futures—A Framework for a Co-creation Process.
- Lampke, A., & Weber, F. (2024). Cross-border landscape conflicts a case study of the Gersweiler-Klarenthal wind farm on the Franco-German border. In *Landscape conflicts* (pp. 311-334). Wiesbaden: Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-43352-9_17
- Liu, C. F., & Mostafavi, A. (2025). Network dynamics of community resilience and recovery: new frontier in disaster research. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 123, 105489. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2025.105489>
- Mazyra, T. M., Ridho, K., & Irfani, A. (2024). Religious and cultural diversity in Indonesia: Dynamics of acceptance and conflict in a multidimensional perspective. *International Journal of Current Science Research and Review*, 7(7), 4932-4945. <https://doi.org/10.47191/ijcsrr/V7-i7-32>
- McDonald, S., Davis, A. P., & Shen, J. (2024). Revisiting social capital and social network research: inequality in social relations and structure. In *Handbook on inequality and social capital* (pp. 22-36). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781802202373.00010>
- Mihalčová, B., Korauš, A., Šišulák, S., Gallo, P., & Lukáč, J. (2023). The risks of misusing social networks in the context of hybrid threat. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 10(4), 357.
- Milofsky, C. (2023). Resilient communities in disasters and emergencies: Exploring their characteristics. *Societies*, 13(8), 188. <https://doi.org/10.3390/soc13080188>

- Morales Cosano, R. (2023). Embodying Abortion: Approaches to Sexual and Reproductive Experiences of the Cairene Youth.
- Ntontis, E., Drury, J., Amlôt, R., Rubin, G. J., Williams, R., & Saavedra, P. (2021). Collective resilience in the disaster recovery period: Emergent social identity and observed social support are associated with collective efficacy, well-being, and the provision of social support. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 60(3), 1075-1095. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12434>
- Oksanen, A., Celuch, M., Oksa, R., & Savolainen, I. (2024). Online communities come with real-world consequences for individuals and societies. *Communications Psychology*, 2(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-024-00112-6>
- Oldemburgo de Mello, V., Cheung, F., & Inzlicht, M. (2024). Twitter (X) use predicts substantial changes in well-being, polarization, sense of belonging, and outrage. *Communications Psychology*, 2(1), 15. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-024-00062-z>
- Ompidan, I. A., & Sanusi, B. O. (2024). Rise of social media in the digital age: Whatsapp a threat to effective communication. *IMSU Journal of Communication Studies*, 8(1), 142-153. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12693727>
- Opper, S., & Zou, N. (2024). Trust in difficult people: a social network perspective. *Journal of Management Studies*, 61(7), 2885-2918. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12996>
- Pangalila, T., & Rumbay, C. A. (2024). Multicultural relation between religious communities in Indonesia. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, 80(1).
- Qureshi, I., & Bhatt, B. (2024). Social media-induced polarisation. *Information Systems Journal*, 34(4).
- Rohall, D. E., Milkie, M. A., & Lucas, J. W. (2024). *Social psychology: Sociological perspectives*. Waveland Press.
- Schilke, O., & Lumineau, F. (2023). How organizational is interorganizational trust? *Academy of Management Review*, (ja), amr-2022. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2022.0040>
- Serrano-Puche, J. (2021). Digital disinformation and emotions: exploring the social risks of affective polarization. *International review of sociology*, 31(2), 231-245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03906701.2021.1947953>
- Smith, L. G., Thomas, E. F., Bliuc, A. M., & McGarty, C. (2024). Polarization is the psychological foundation of collective engagement. *Communications psychology*, 2(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s44271-024-00089-2>
- Tran, T. D. T. (2022). *Shifting views on irregular migration during a time of socio-political change: A critical analysis of press and social media discourses in the UK, 2015-2018* (Doctoral dissertation, St Mary's University).
- Tripathi, S., Kutsenko, E., & Boos, V. (2022). How different patterns of urbanization affect regional innovation? Evidence from Russia. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, 26(2), 213-243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12265934.2021.1942165>
- Song, Y., Gao, Y., Zhang, S., Dong, H., & Liu, X. (2024). Research on the coupling coordination and driving mechanisms of new-type urbanization and the ecological environment in China's Yangtze River Delta. *Sustainability*, 16(13), 5308. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16135308>

- Ucar, I., Gramaglia, M., Fiore, M., Smoreda, Z., & Moro, E. (2021). News or social media? Socio-economic divide of mobile service consumption. *Journal of The Royal Society Interface*, 18(185), 20210350. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13607863.2023.2233454>
- Waldman, D. A., & Sparr, J. L. (2023). Rethinking diversity strategies: An application of paradox and positive organization behavior theories. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 37(2), 174-192. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2021.0183>