Journal Development Manecos

ISSN: 2988 - 5957
Vol. 1 No. 2, 2023
Page: 39-53

Evaluating the Impact of Technology Investments on Economic Performance and Operational Efficiency in Diverse Industry Sectors

Nadhila Adelfrini[1], Syamsurianti[1], Shanty Shanaya[1]

[1]Indonesian Muslim University

*Corresponding Author: Nadhila Adelfrini

Email: nadhilaadel98@gmail.com

Article Info

Article History:

Received April 3, 2022
Revised April 28, 2022
Accepted: Mei 15, 2022

Keywords:

Resource Allocation Strategies, Economic Performance Indicators, Technology Investments, Operational Efficiency, Industry Sector Analysis.

Abstract

This study explores the impact of strategic resource allocation on economic management excellence, focusing on profitability, return on investment (ROI), operational efficiency, and market share growth. Utilizing quantitative methods, including ANOVA and regression analysis, the research examines how varying levels of financial, technological, and human resource deployment influence these performance metrics across different industry sectors. The results reveal significant variations in economic outcomes based on resource allocation, highlighting the importance of tailored strategies for optimal performance. This study addresses gaps in the literature by providing empirical evidence of the direct effects of resource allocation on organizational success and offers insights for refining resource management practices.

Introduction

In the rapidly evolving landscape of global economies, organizations are increasingly under pressure to maximize efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance performance. Economic management excellence has emerged as a critical goal, not only for private corporations but also for public sector institutions. The essence of this excellence lies in how effectively organizations allocate their limited resources financial, human, technological, and physical to achieve desired outcomes (Aragão & Fontana, 2022). Strategic resource allocation, therefore, plays a pivotal role in achieving optimal performance levels, making it a key factor in economic management excellence.

Economic management excellence refers to the ability of organizations to optimize their operational processes, improve financial health, and sustain long-term competitiveness in dynamic markets (Jankalová & Jankal, 2020; Liu, 2022). It encompasses a broad spectrum of performance indicators, such as profitability, return on investment (ROI), operational efficiency, and market share (Rahiminezhad Galankashi & Mokhatab Rafiei, 2022). Achieving excellence in economic management requires not only effective leadership and governance but also the strategic deployment of resources (Mahdi & Nassar, 2021). In this regard, organizations must not merely focus on acquiring resources but also on how they are utilized to gain a competitive edge.

For organizations operating in competitive markets, efficient resource allocation becomes a decisive factor in achieving economic success (Ying et al., 2019; Zhang & Dilanchiev, 2022). As markets become more unpredictable, especially in the wake of crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, the need for organizations to strategically allocate resources has intensified (Thakur & Hale, 2022). According to Pisz (2021) and Amenta et al. (2022), the pandemic created substantial disruptions in supply chains, labor markets, and financial markets, underscoring the importance of agile resource allocation strategies. Hence, organizations need to ensure that resources are not only available but also optimally used to enhance productivity and economic sustainability.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

According to Gavrikova et al. (2020) and Thomas & Thomas (2021), strategic resource allocation involves the deliberate and informed distribution of an organization's assets to various functions, departments, or projects to maximize performance and achieve organizational goals. According to the resource-based view (RBV) of the firm, the optimal allocation of resources, both tangible and intangible, can be a source of sustained competitive advantage (Silva & Oliveira, 2020; Baia et al., 2020). This theory posits that organizations with unique, valuable, and rare resources are better positioned to outperform competitors, align with research from Zhao (2022) and Bhandari et al. (2020).

Recent studies have reinforced the significance of this theory in contemporary business environments, demonstrating how strategic resource allocation can enhance organizational performance across various industries (Soomro et al., 2021; Tajeddini et al., 2020). By prioritizing key resources such as technological infrastructure, skilled labor, and financial capital, organizations can adapt more effectively to changing market conditions (Mahmood & Mubarik, 2020; Chester & Allenby, 2019). Strategic resource allocation is, therefore, crucial not only for sustaining day to day operations but also for ensuring long-term economic management excellence.

Moreover, the efficient allocation of resources can lead to higher returns on investment, better risk management, and increased innovation, which are all vital components of economic excellence (Dev et al., 2020; Bag et al., 2020). Studies indicate that organizations that adopt a data-driven approach to resource allocation are more likely to achieve financial stability and market leadership. This is because such organizations can identify which areas require more resources and which processes can be streamlined or automated to enhance efficiency (Ng et al., 2021; Viale & Zouari, 2020).

Despite the critical importance of strategic resource allocation, many organizations struggle with effectively implementing such strategies. A common challenge is resource misallocation, where resources are distributed inefficiently due to poor planning, misaligned priorities, or lack of accurate data (Guerrero & Castañeda, 2020). For example, overinvestment in one area, such as technology, without considering the need for skilled personnel, can lead to resource bottlenecks and diminished returns (Liu et al., 2022; Pawłowski et al., 2021). Additionally, the ever-changing nature of market demands complicates the process of resource allocation, requiring organizations to be both flexible and forward thinking (Haarhaus & Liening, 2020; Holbeche, 2019).

In research by Ammons (2019) that in public sector organizations, resource allocation is often constrained by budget limitations, political considerations, and bureaucratic hurdles, which can hinder the achievement of economic management excellence. Meanwhile, in the private sector, competition for limited resources such as skilled labor and capital presents its own challenges, as organizations must constantly balance short term needs with long term strategic goals (Kaplinsky & Kraemer-Mbula, 2022; Paik et al., 2019).

Given the complexity of resource allocation and its direct impact on economic performance, a quantitative approach is essential for understanding the factors that contribute to successful resource deployment, in research by Kristoffersen et al. (2021). Quantitative analysis allows for the measurement and comparison of resource allocation strategies across different organizations and industries, providing valuable insights into best practices. Moreover, it enables decision makers to identify key performance indicators (KPIs) that are most affected by resource allocation, such as profitability, employee productivity, and customer satisfaction.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

This study adopts a quantitative methodology to explore the relationship between strategic resource allocation and economic management excellence. By focusing on measurable outcomes, such as financial performance and operational efficiency, this research aims to provide empirical evidence of the importance of resource allocation strategies in achieving economic success. Ultimately, the study seeks to contribute to the growing body of literature that underscores the need for strategic resource management in the pursuit of economic excellence.

Strategic resource allocation remains a critical challenge for organizations aiming to achieve economic management excellence. While there is a growing consensus that optimal allocation of resources whether financial, human, or technological is key to boosting organizational performance, many firms continue to struggle with inefficiencies. These inefficiencies arise from several factors, including a lack of data driven decision-making, poor prioritization, and an inability to adapt allocation strategies to changing market conditions. For example, organizations frequently invest heavily in technology without aligning it with workforce development or operational needs, leading to resource wastage and diminished returns (Velenturf & Jopson, 2019). The problem becomes even more complex in dynamic economic environments where rapid changes in market demands require constant reallocation of resources. Despite the recognized importance of resource allocation, empirical studies that quantify its direct impact on economic management excellence remain limited, especially in diverse sectors. This study addresses this gap by exploring the role of strategic resource allocation and measuring its effects on performance outcomes such as profitability, efficiency, and competitive advantage. How does strategic resource allocation impact the economic management excellence of organizations across different sectors? What specific resource allocation strategies contribute most significantly to key economic performance indicators such as profitability, efficiency, and market share? What challenges do organizations face when implementing strategic resource allocation, and how can these challenges be mitigated?

This study is significant as it provides empirical evidence on the critical role of strategic resource allocation in achieving economic management excellence. While theoretical frameworks such as the resource-based view (RBV) suggest that resource allocation is essential for organizational success, this research quantifies its impact across various industries, offering practical insights for decision-makers. The study addresses a pressing need for data-driven strategies in resource allocation, especially in the context of post pandemic recovery, where organizations must navigate limited resources in highly volatile markets (Sheng et al., 2021). By identifying the most effective resource allocation strategies, the findings can inform policies and practices that enhance organizational performance. Additionally, the research highlights challenges in resource management, providing actionable recommendations that organizations can implement to optimize resource use and drive economic success. The study's outcomes will be particularly valuable to business leaders, policymakers, and scholars interested in improving organizational efficiency and fostering long term competitiveness.

While this study provides valuable insights into strategic resource allocation, it is not without limitations. First, the research employs a cross-sectional design, which limits the ability to establish causal relationships between resource allocation strategies and economic performance. Although the study can demonstrate correlations, longitudinal studies would be needed to track the long-term impact of resource allocation decisions. Second, the study relies on data from organizations across different sectors, which may introduce variability in the findings. While this diversity enhances the generalizability of the results, it may also mask sector specific nuances in resource allocation practices. Furthermore, the study uses

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

self-reported data from organizational leaders, which may introduce bias, as respondents may overestimate their resource allocation efficiency or organizational performance. To mitigate this limitation, future research could incorporate more objective measures, such as financial statements and operational records, to complement self-reported data. Finally, the study is limited to organizations that have relatively stable operations. Therefore, the findings may not be as applicable to start up or organizations in highly volatile industries. Despite these limitations, the study offers a robust foundation for further research and provides actionable insights for organizations aiming to optimize their resource allocation strategies.

Methods

This study utilized a cross-sectional survey design to capture data from various organizations at a single point in time. A quantitative research approach was deemed most suitable for this study, as it allowed the researchers to quantify the effects of resource allocation strategies on performance metrics such as profitability and operational efficiency. The cross-sectional nature of the study meant that data were collected from organizations at a specific moment, providing a snapshot of how they were managing their resources and their current performance. This design was chosen to facilitate a broad analysis across different sectors, enabling a comprehensive understanding of the strategic resource allocation landscape and its influence on economic management excellence.

The population for this study consisted of medium to large organizations in both the public and private sectors. The organizations selected for the study operated across multiple industries, including finance, manufacturing, healthcare, and technology. A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure that the sample represented the diverse nature of the population. In this technique, organizations were first divided into strata based on their industry sector. Within each stratum, organizations were randomly selected, ensuring that each sector had proportional representation in the final sample. The use of stratified sampling was intended to minimize sampling bias and enhance the generalizability of the findings. By including organizations from various sectors, the study ensured that different resource allocation practices could be captured and compared. The final sample included 250 organizations, and the respondents were senior management and financial officers directly involved in resource allocation decisions. Their participation was considered crucial since these individuals possess detailed knowledge of their organizations' strategic resource planning processes.

The data were collected using a structured questionnaire specifically designed for this study. The questionnaire was divided into three main sections. The first section collected demographic information about the organizations, including industry type, size, and years of operation. The second section measured resource allocation strategies, asking participants to rate their organization’s level of focus on various strategies such as human resource deployment, financial capital allocation, and technology investment. A Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) was used to capture the degree to which participants believed their organization was effectively allocating resources. The final section gathered data on economic management performance, focusing on key performance indicators such as profitability, operational efficiency, market share, and return on investment (ROI). This structured approach enabled the researchers to collect comprehensive and comparable data across different organizations, making it easier to analyze trends in resource allocation and their effects on economic performance. The validity of the questionnaire was ensured through content and construct validity procedures. Content validity was established by consulting experts in the fields of strategic management and organizational performance. These experts reviewed the questionnaire and confirmed that it adequately captured the

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

various dimensions of resource allocation and economic management excellence. To assess construct validity, a pilot study was conducted with a small sample of 30 organizations that were not included in the final sample. The pilot study helped identify any unclear questions or potential biases in the instrument, and minor revisions were made to improve clarity and accuracy. Additionally, the reliability of the questionnaire was tested using Cronbach's alpha, a common method for measuring internal consistency. A Cronbach's alpha coefficient of 0.87 was obtained, indicating a high level of reliability. This means that the items in the questionnaire consistently measured the underlying constructs of resource allocation and economic performance, ensuring the robustness of the instrument for this study.

Data were collected over 3 months period, during which the questionnaires were distributed to the sampled organizations via email. Follow-up reminders were sent to encourage participation and to ensure a high response rate. Of the 250 questionnaires distributed, 210 were returned, resulting in a response rate of 84%. After reviewing the returned questionnaires, 200 valid responses were included in the final analysis. Responses with incomplete data were excluded to maintain the integrity of the results. The choice of email as a distribution method was intended to facilitate widespread participation, as it allowed respondents to complete the questionnaire at their convenience. This approach proved effective, as evidenced by the high response rate.

The collected data were analyzed using SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) software. Several statistical techniques were employed to answer the research questions and test the study's hypotheses. First, descriptive statistics (such as frequencies, means, and standard deviations) were calculated to summarize the characteristics of the sample and the distribution of responses across resource allocation strategies and performance indicators. Next, correlation analysis was conducted using Pearson's correlation coefficient to assess the strength and direction of the relationship between strategic resource allocation and economic performance outcomes. This initial analysis helped establish whether there was a statistically significant relationship between the variables and the general direction of that relationship (positive or negative). To further explore the predictive power of resource allocation strategies, multiple regression analysis was performed. This technique allowed the researchers to assess the extent to which specific resource allocation strategies predicted economic management performance, including profitability, efficiency, and ROI. The regression analysis identified the most significant resource allocation practices contributing to economic management excellence.

Additionally, an independent samples t-test was used to compare the performance of organizations that reported high levels of strategic resource allocation with those that reported low levels. This analysis determined whether there were statistically significant differences in economic outcomes based on the level of resource allocation. A one-way ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) was employed to compare the effects of different resource allocation strategies across industries. The ANOVA test revealed whether certain sectors experienced greater benefits from specific resource allocation practices than others. This test was particularly useful for understanding industry-specific dynamics in resource allocation. Finally, an ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance) was conducted to control for external variables, such as organization size and industry type, while assessing the impact of resource allocation on performance outcomes. This allowed the study to account for potential confounding factors and provide a more nuanced understanding of the relationship between resource allocation and economic management excellence.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Result and Discussion

The following are the data results for descriptive statistical tests based on the research methodology. These results show how strategic resource allocation and performance indicators can be distributed across sample organizations.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics for Resource Allocation Strategies (n = 200)

Resource Allocation Strategy	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)	Min	Max
Financial Capital Allocation	4.12	0.65	2.50	5.00
Human Resource Deployment	3.90	0.72	2.00	5.00
Technology Investments	4.25	0.58	3.00	5.00
Infrastructure Development	3.85	0.70	2.00	5.00
Research and Development (R&D)	3.60	0.80	1.50	5.00
Workforce Training and Development	4.00	0.67	2.00	5.00

Table 1 shows that the descriptive statistics for resource allocation strategies provide an overview of how organizations allocated resources across different categories. Technology Investments has the highest mean (4.25), indicating that, on average, organizations invested heavily in technology relative to other categories. The Financial Capital Allocation (mean = 4.12) also scores highly, suggesting that organizations prioritize financial resource management. Research and Development (R&D) has the lowest mean (3.60), showing that it received the least attention compared to other strategies, although the standard deviation (0.80) indicates more variability in this area across organizations.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for Economic Performance Indicators (n = 200)

Performance Indicator	Mean	Standard Deviation (SD)	Min	Max
Profitability (in %)	18.5	5.10	10.00	30.00
Return on Investment (ROI in %)	12.7	4.65	5.00	20.00
Operational Efficiency (in %)	88.3	8.25	65.00	98.00
Market Share Growth (in %)	9.5	2.80	4.00	15.00

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics for the organizations' economic performance indicators. Operational Efficiency has the highest mean (88.3%), indicating that organizations generally report high efficiency levels in their operations. Profitability follows with a mean of 18.5%, reflecting the average profitability across all organizations. The standard deviation for Profitability (5.10) and ROI (4.65) suggests moderate variation, meaning some organizations are significantly outperforming others in these areas. Market Share Growth has the lowest mean (9.5%), indicating that while some organizations are expanding their market share, the growth is more conservative compared to other indicators.

Table 3. Cross Tabulation of Technology Investments and Profitability (n = 200)

Technology Investments	Low Profitability (≤ 15%)	Moderate Profitability (16-20%)	High Profitability (> 20%)	Total
Low (3.0 - 3.9)	25	12	8	45
Moderate (4.0 - 4.4)	28	40	22	90
High (4.5 - 5.0)	8	20	37	65
Total	61	72	67	200

Table 3 provides a cross tabulation between Technology Investments and Profitability levels. The data indicate that organizations with high technology investments (scores between 4.5

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

and 5.0) are more likely to experience high profitability (>20%), with 37 organizations in this category. Conversely, organizations with low technology investments (scores between 3.0 and 3.9) tend to have low profitability (≤15%), as 25 organizations fall into this category. This pattern suggests a strong association between investment in technology and profitability, with higher investments correlating with better financial performance.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics by Industry (n = 200)

Industry	Profitability Mean (in %)	Operational Efficiency Mean (in %)	Market Share Growth Mean (in %)	ROI Mean (in %)
Finance	20.5	90.2	10.5	14.0
Healthcare	17.0	85.0	9.0	11.8
Manufacturing	18.2	88.5	9.7	12.5
Technology	19.5	91.0	11.0	13.5
Other	16.0	86.0	8.5	11.0

Table 4 shows the average performance across different industries. The Finance and Technology industries report the highest profitability means (20.5% and 19.5%, respectively), indicating that these sectors generally outperform others in terms of financial returns. The Technology sector also reports the highest operational efficiency (91.0%) and market share growth (11.0%), suggesting that technology firms are not only efficient but also expanding their presence in the market. The Healthcare sector, while reporting slightly lower profitability (17.0%) and ROI (11.8%), still maintains a competitive level of operational efficiency (85.0%).

Table 5. Pearson's Correlation between Resource Allocation Strategies and Economic Performance (n = 200)

Variables	Profitability	ROI	Operational Efficiency	Market Share Growth
Financial Capital Allocation	0.42	0.35	0.38	0.32
Human Resource Deployment	0.30	0.28	0.41	0.22
Technology Investments	0.55	0.48	0.60	0.44
Infrastructure Development	0.25	0.22	0.30	0.2
R&D Investments	0.18	0.20	0.22	0.15
Training & Development	0.40	0.33	0.50	0.35

Note: $p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.01$ (significant correlations).*

Table 5 presents the Pearson's correlation coefficients between the six resource allocation strategies and four economic performance indicators. All correlations are statistically significant, though some are stronger than others. Technology Investments has the strongest positive correlation with Profitability (r = 0.55), Operational Efficiency (r = 0.60), and Market Share Growth (r = 0.44). This suggests that organizations investing heavily in technology tend to experience higher profitability and operational efficiency.

Similarly, Financial Capital Allocation shows moderate positive correlations with all performance indicators, especially Profitability (r = 0.42). Human Resource Deployment and

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Training & Development also show significant, positive correlations with operational efficiency, highlighting their critical role in driving overall performance.

Table 6. Multiple Regression Analysis Predicting Economic Performance from Resource Allocation Strategies (n = 200)

Predictor Variable	B	SE B	β (Standardized Coefficient)	t	p-value
Constant	5.42	2.10	-	2.58	0.011
Financial Capital Allocation	0.35	0.12	0.31	2.92	0.004
Human Resource Deployment	0.28	0.10	0.26	2.80	0.006
Technology Investments	0.55	0.14	0.45	3.93	0.000
Infrastructure Development	0.20	0.08	0.17	2.50	0.014
Training & Development	0.30	0.11	0.29	2.73	0.007
R&D Investments	0.10	0.07	0.09	1.43	0.155

$R^2 = 0.52$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.50$; $F(6,193) = 22.50$, $p < 0.001$

In Table 6, a multiple regression analysis was conducted to predict Profitability based on six resource allocation strategies. The adjusted R^2 of 0.50 indicates that 50% of the variance in profitability can be explained by these six predictors, suggesting a strong model fit. Among the predictors, Technology Investments had the highest standardized coefficient ($\beta = 0.45$, $p < 0.001$), showing the strongest positive effect on profitability. Financial Capital Allocation and Human Resource Deployment also had significant positive impacts ($\beta = 0.31$ and 0.26, respectively).

Table 7. Independent Samples t-Test Comparing Profitability by Technology Investment Levels (n = 200)

Technology Investment Level	Mean Profitability (in %)	SD	t-value	p-value
Low (3.0 - 3.9)	15.2	4.80	-4.25	0.000
High (4.5 - 5.0)	21.0	5.05		

Note: *$p < 0.01$ (significant at 1% level).*

Table 7 shows the results of an independent samples t-test comparing Profitability between organizations with low and high levels of Technology Investments. The test reveals a significant difference in profitability between the two groups ($t = -4.25$, $p < 0.01$). Organizations with high technology investments had significantly higher mean profitability (21.0%) compared to those with low technology investments (15.2%). This finding reinforces the importance of technology investments for achieving greater profitability.

Table 8. ANOVA Results Comparing Performance across Different Industry Sectors (n = 200)

Industry	Mean Profitability (in %)	SD	F-value	p-value
Finance	20.5	5.12	7.45	0.001
Healthcare	17.0	4.75		
Manufacturing	18.2	5.00		
Technology	19.5	4.90		
Other	16.0	4.65		

Note: *$p < 0.01$ (significant at 1% level).*

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Table 8 presents the results of a one-way ANOVA comparing Profitability across different industry sectors. The ANOVA yielded a significant F-value (7.45, $p < 0.01$), indicating that there are statistically significant differences in profitability between industries. Post-hoc comparisons (not shown here) would likely reveal that the Finance and Technology sectors outperform the Healthcare and Other sectors in terms of profitability. This suggests that sector specific factors play an important role in how resource allocation strategies translate into profitability.

Table 9. ANCOVA Results Controlling for Organization Size (n = 200)

Variable	B	SE B	F-value	p-value
Technology Investments	0.45	0.10	4.50	0.000
Financial Capital	0.32	0.12	3.70	0.005
Human Resources	0.25	0.08	2.95	0.011
Organization Size (Covariate)	0.15	0.06	2.10	0.065

Note: $p < 0.05$; *$p < 0.01$*.

Table 9 shows the results of an ANCOVA (Analysis of Covariance), which was conducted to test the effect of Technology Investments, Financial Capital Allocation, and Human Resource Deployment on Profitability, while controlling for organization size. Even after controlling for size, Technology Investments ($B = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$) and Financial Capital Allocation ($B = 0.32$, $p < 0.01$) remain significant predictors of profitability, while the covariate (organization size) was not significant ($p = 0.065$). This indicates that regardless of organization size, strategic investments in technology and financial resources positively affect profitability.

Table 10. One-Way ANOVA for Profitability by Industry Sector (n = 200)

Industry	Mean Profitability (in %)	SD	n
Finance	20.5	5.12	50
Healthcare	17.0	4.75	40
Manufacturing	18.2	5.00	45
Technology	19.5	4.90	35
Other	16.0	4.65	30

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	460.32	4	115.08	7.45	0.001
Within Groups	3030.88	195	15.54		
Total	3491.20	199			

Note: *$p < 0.01$ (significant at 1% level).*

Table 10 displays the results of a one-way ANOVA comparing profitability across different industry sectors. The mean profitability differs across sectors, with the finance sector reporting the highest mean profitability (20.5%) and the other sector reporting the lowest (16.0%). The ANOVA results show a significant difference in profitability across industries ($F = 7.45$, $p < 0.01$), suggesting that the industry sector has a statistically significant effect on profitability.

Table 11. One-Way ANOVA for ROI by Technology Investment Levels (n = 200)

Technology Investment Level	Mean ROI (in %)	SD	n
Low (3.0 - 3.9)	10.5	3.95	65
Moderate (4.0 - 4.4)	12.2	4.10	85

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

High (4.5 - 5.0)	15.8	4.85	50

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	510.24	2	255.12	10.90	0.000
Within Groups	4630.35	197	23.51		
Total	5140.59	199			

Note: *p < 0.01 (significant at 1% level).*

Table 11 presents the results of a one-way ANOVA comparing ROI across different levels of technology investment. The data indicate that ROI increases as organizations invest more in technology, with a mean ROI of 10.5% for low technology investments and 15.8% for high technology investments.

Table 12. One-Way ANOVA for Operational Efficiency by Financial Capital Allocation Levels (n = 200)

Financial Capital Allocation Level	Mean Operational Efficiency (in %)	SD	n
Low (2.5 - 3.5)	80.0	9.50	55
Moderate (3.6 - 4.2)	88.5	8.20	90
High (4.3 - 5.0)	92.8	7.40	55

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	1040.35	2	520.18	7.85	0.001
Within Groups	13020.45	197	66.09		
Total	14060.80	199			

Note: *p < 0.01 (significant at 1% level).*

Table 12 presents the results of a one-way ANOVA comparing operational efficiency across different levels of financial capital allocation. The results show that organizations with high financial capital allocation report the highest mean operational efficiency (92.8%), while organizations with low allocation report the lowest efficiency (80.0%).

The ANOVA results show a significant effect of financial capital allocation on operational efficiency ($F = 7.85$, $p < 0.01$). This indicates that greater financial resource allocation leads to more efficient organizational operations, highlighting the importance of proper financial resource management.

Table 13. One-Way ANOVA for Market Share Growth by Human Resource Deployment Levels (n = 200)

Human Resource Deployment Level	Mean Market Share Growth (in %)	SD	n
Low (2.0 - 3.0)	7.5	2.20	45
Moderate (3.1 - 4.0)	9.2	2.50	85
High (4.1 - 5.0)	11.0	2.80	70

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	120.56	2	60.28	9.25	0.000
Within Groups	1282.25	197	6.51		
Total	1402.81	199			

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Note: *p < 0.01 (significant at 1% level).*

Table 13 shows the results of a one-way ANOVA comparing market share growth across different levels of human resource deployment. The data indicate that organizations with high levels of human resource deployment report the highest mean market share growth (11.0%), while organizations with low levels of human resource deployment report the lowest growth (7.5%).

The ANOVA reveals a significant difference in market share growth across the three levels of human resource deployment ($F = 9.25$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that better deployment of human resources leads to greater market expansion.

The findings from this study on strategic resource allocation provide a significant contribution to understanding how the allocation of key resources financial, human, and technological impacts various economic performance indicators, such as profitability, return on investment (ROI), operational efficiency, and market share growth. By conducting an extensive ANOVA analysis, this research identifies clear differences in economic outcomes across various levels of resource deployment, addressing key gaps in the existing literature.

The strategic resource allocation literature has long acknowledged the importance of efficient distribution of financial, human, and technological resources. However, much of the prior research has focused on qualitative evaluations or theoretical models, with limited quantitative evidence available on how variations in these allocations affect measurable organizational outcomes. Underscore resource-based perspectives but lack empirical data on how sectoral differences and specific resource deployment practices influence performance outcomes. This research fills that gap by providing robust statistical evidence through ANOVA testing, revealing that resource allocation significantly influences performance metrics across sectors and investment levels.

The results from this study show that profitability, for instance, is significantly higher in the finance and technology sectors, aligning with findings from similar studies that highlight the inherent advantages these industries have in optimizing financial and technological resources (Porter, 1996; McGrath, 2013). However, the significant difference between industries and sectors, as revealed in the ANOVA test, suggests that each sector's unique structure and resource needs must be carefully analyzed when discussing allocation strategies. The current research has provided new insights by showing how sectors such as healthcare and manufacturing, which may not rely as heavily on technological investment, still see measurable differences in profitability when more strategic resource deployment is considered.

One of the most critical findings from this study is the significant impact of financial capital allocation on operational efficiency. The ANOVA results indicated that companies with higher levels of capital allocation achieved the highest levels of efficiency, with mean efficiency increasing as resource allocation rose. Financial resources are critical to achieving economies of scale and operational optimization. Furthermore, these finding challenges earlier research that tended to place less emphasis on capital investments, suggesting instead that human capital played a more dominant role in operational success.

This study's results significantly advance the literature by quantitatively demonstrating how larger financial capital pools directly correlate with more efficient operations. The positive relationship found between capital allocation and operational efficiency indicates that organizations should consider revising resource allocation models to ensure sufficient financial resources are deployed.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Another significant result is the impact of technological investment on ROI, where organizations with high technology investments saw a mean ROI of 15.8%, significantly higher than those with lower investments. The role of technological innovation in achieving higher returns. However, while many previous studies focused on the broad benefits of technology, this study goes further by examining the specific allocation levels and how varying degrees of technological investment can create a measurable improvement in ROI.

In the broader literature, technology investments are often discussed in terms of innovation and productivity. Still, there is limited discussion on the quantifiable financial returns that result from different levels of technological deployment. By addressing this gap, this study provides quantitative evidence supporting the argument that organizations should prioritize technological investments for maximizing ROI, as shown through the significant ANOVA F-value (10.90).

The study also demonstrated a statistically significant relationship between human resource deployment and market share growth, which is consistent with the resource-based view that human capital is a critical driver of firm performance. The findings indicate that companies that allocate higher levels of human resources experienced the most substantial growth in market share, with a mean growth rate of 11.0% for organizations with high levels of human resource deployment. This directly supports research that suggests human capital plays a crucial role in market expansion and competitive advantage.

This study addresses a gap by quantitatively examining how variations in HR deployment levels impact market outcomes. The results show that organizations with low human resource deployment levels (mean market share growth of 7.5%) experience much lower gains than those that prioritize human capital, further emphasizing the importance of effective human resource allocation.

This study contrasts with prior research that often took a static view of resource allocation or focused exclusively on one type of resource (e.g., financial or human). The strategic deployment of financial resources, few studies integrated a comprehensive resource allocation framework. This study fills that gap by simultaneously examining the combined impact of financial, technological, and human resources on various organizational performance indicators, offering a holistic view of how resource allocation strategies intersect.

Furthermore, the ANOVA results provide empirical evidence that challenges earlier assumptions in the literature regarding the uniform impact of resources across industries and sectors. The finding that profitability, efficiency, and market share vary significantly based on resource deployment level calls for a more nuanced approach to understanding resource allocation.

Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the crucial role that strategic resource allocation plays in driving key economic outcomes such as profitability, ROI, operational efficiency, and market share growth. Through quantitative analysis, including ANOVA tests, the study has demonstrated that the allocation of financial, human, and technological resources significantly impacts organizational performance across different industry sectors and levels of investment. The results underscore the importance of a nuanced approach to resource management, where companies must tailor their allocation strategies based on sector specific needs and the optimal balance of financial, human, and technological inputs. This research fills important gaps in the existing literature by providing empirical evidence of how resource allocation directly influences performance metrics, challenging static views of resource

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

distribution. By adopting more strategic resource allocation frameworks, organizations can enhance their economic management and achieve long term success. These findings pave the way for further research on the interplay of various resources in organizational outcomes, offering a solid foundation for improved resource-based decision-making.

References

Amenta, C., Destri, A. M. L., Levanti, G., & Di Betta, P. (2022). The challenges underlying firm revival and resilience in the Post-COVID-19 phase. In *COVID-19 and Communities: The University of Palermo's Voices and Analyses During the Pandemic* (pp. 53-73). Cham: Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-88622-6_7

Ammons, D. N. (2019). Productivity barriers in the public sector. In *Public productivity handbook* (pp. 147-173). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781482277074

Aragão, J. P. S., & Fontana, M. E. (2022). Outsourcing strategies in public services under budgetary constraints: analysing perceptions of public managers. *Public Organization Review*, *22*(1), 61-77. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-021-00517-5

Bag, S., Wood, L. C., Xu, L., Dhamija, P., & Kayikci, Y. (2020). Big data analytics as an operational excellence approach to enhance sustainable supply chain performance. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *153*, 104559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104559

Baia, E., Ferreira, J. J., & Rodrigues, R. (2020). Value and rareness of resources and capabilities as sources of competitive advantage and superior performance. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, *18*(3), 249-262. https://doi.org/10.1080/14778238.2019.1599308

Bhandari, K. R., Rana, S., Paul, J., & Salo, J. (2020). Relative exploration and firm performance: Why resource-theory alone is not sufficient?. *Journal of Business Research*, *118*, 363-377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.07.001

Chester, M. V., & Allenby, B. (2019). Toward adaptive infrastructure: flexibility and agility in a non-stationarity age. *Sustainable and Resilient Infrastructure*, *4*(4), 173-191. https://doi.org/10.1080/23789689.2017.1416846

Dev, N. K., Shankar, R., & Qaiser, F. H. (2020). Industry 4.0 and circular economy: Operational excellence for sustainable reverse supply chain performance. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *153*, 104583. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104583

Gavrikova, E., Volkova, I., & Burda, Y. (2020). Strategic aspects of asset management: An overview of current research. *Sustainability*, *12*(15), 5955. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12155955

Guerrero, O. A., & Castañeda, G. (2020). Policy priority inference: A computational framework to analyze the allocation of resources for the sustainable development goals. *Data & Policy*, *2*, e17. https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2020.18

Haarhaus, T., & Liening, A. (2020). Building dynamic capabilities to cope with environmental uncertainty: The role of strategic foresight. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *155*, 120033.m https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120033

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Holbeche, L. (2019). Designing sustainably agile and resilient organizations. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, *36*(5), 668-677. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2624

Jankalová, M., & Jankal, R. (2020). How to characterize business excellence and determine the relation between business excellence and sustainability. *Sustainability*, *12*(15), 6198. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12156198

Kaplinsky, R., & Kraemer-Mbula, E. (2022). Innovation and uneven development: The challenge for low-and middle-income economies. *Research Policy*, *51*(2), 104394. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104394

Kristoffersen, E., Mikalef, P., Blomsma, F., & Li, J. (2021). The effects of business analytics capability on circular economy implementation, resource orchestration capability, and firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *239*, 108205. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2021.108205

Liu, L., Zhao, Z., Zhang, M., & Zhou, D. (2022). Green investment efficiency in the Chinese energy sector: Overinvestment or underinvestment?. *Energy Policy*, *160*, 112694. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2021.112694

Liu, S. (2022). Internal economic management and performance evaluation method of enterprise based on balanced scorecard. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, *2022*(1), 5071667. https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/5071667

Mahdi, O. R., & Nassar, I. A. (2021). The business model of sustainable competitive advantage through strategic leadership capabilities and knowledge management processes to overcome covid-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*, *13*(17), 9891. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179891

Mahmood, T., & Mubarik, M. S. (2020). Balancing innovation and exploitation in the fourth industrial revolution: Role of intellectual capital and technology absorptive capacity. *Technological forecasting and social change*, *160*, 120248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120248

Ng, K. K., Chen, C. H., Lee, C. K., Jiao, J. R., & Yang, Z. X. (2021). A systematic literature review on intelligent automation: Aligning concepts from theory, practice, and future perspectives. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, *47*, 101246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2021.101246

Paik, Y., Kang, S., & Seamans, R. (2019). Entrepreneurship, innovation, and political competition: How the public sector helps the sharing economy create value. *Strategic Management Journal*, *40*(4), 503-532. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2937

Pawłowski, K. P., Czubak, W., Zmyślona, J., & Sadowski, A. (2021). Overinvestment in selected Central and Eastern European countries: Production and economic effects. *Plos one*, *16*(5), e0251394. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251394

Pisz, I. (2021). Impact COVID-19 pandemic on implementation Industry 4.0 in enterprises and supply chains. *Zeszyty Naukowe. Organizacja i Zarządzanie/Politechnika Śląska*. http://dx.doi.org/10.29119/1641-3466.2021.150.14

Rahiminezhad Galankashi, M., & Mokhatab Rafiei, F. (2022). Financial performance measurement of supply chains: a review. *International journal of productivity and performance management*, *71*(5), 1674-1707. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-11-2019-0533

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083

Sheng, J., Amankwah-Amoah, J., Khan, Z., & Wang, X. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic in the new era of big data analytics: Methodological innovations and future research directions. *British Journal of Management*, *32*(4), 1164-1183. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12441

Silva, R., & Oliveira, C. (2020). The influence of innovation in tangible and intangible resource allocation: A qualitative multi case study. *Sustainability*, *12*(12), 4989. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124989

Soomro, B. A., Mangi, S., & Shah, N. (2021). Strategic factors and significance of organizational innovation and organizational learning in organizational performance. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, *24*(2), 481-506. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-05-2019-0114

Tajeddini, K., Martin, E., & Ali, A. (2020). Enhancing hospitality business performance: The role of entrepreneurial orientation and networking ties in a dynamic environment. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *90*, 102605. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2020.102605

Thakur, R., & Hale, D. (2022). Strategic crisis response: managerial implications and direction for recovery and survival. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, *37*(10), 1959-1973. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBIM-01-2021-0029

Thomas, R. K., & Thomas, R. K. (2021). Strategic planning. *Health services Planning*, 215-245. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1076-3_9

Velenturf, A. P., & Jopson, J. S. (2019). Making the business case for resource recovery. *Science of the Total Environment*, *648*, 1031-1041. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.224

Viale, L., & Zouari, D. (2020, July). Impact of digitalization on procurement: the case of robotic process automation. In *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal* (Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 185-195). Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.1080/16258312.2020.1776089

Ying, Q., Hassan, H., & Ahmad, H. (2019). The role of a manager's intangible capabilities in resource acquisition and sustainable competitive performance. *Sustainability*, *11*(2), 527. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11020527

Zhang, Y., & Dilanchiev, A. (2022). Economic recovery, industrial structure and natural resource utilization efficiency in China: effect on green economic recovery. *Resources Policy*, *79*, 102958. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2022.102958

Zhao, E. Y. (2022). *Optimal distinctiveness: A new agenda for the study of competitive positioning of organizations and markets*. Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108990561

DOI: https://doi.org/10.71435/604083