

Journal of Asian-African focus in Health

ISSN: 2987-9418 Vol. 2 No. 3, 2024 Page: 103-110

Assessing the Effectiveness of Vaccination Programs in Reducing Childhood Diseases

Hazhiyah Azima Masturapratiwi¹, Siti Nurhalizah¹ Hilda Utami¹

¹Universitas Megarezky

*Corresponding Author: Hazhiyah Azima Masturapratiwi

Email: azaazima0@gmail.com

Article Info

Article History:

Received September 5, 2024 Revised September 18, 2024 Accepted: October 24, 2024

Keywords:

Vaccination Programs, Childhood Diseases, Vaccine Coverage.

Abstract

This study analyzed data gathered from healthcare professionals, parents, and existing health records through questionnaires to objectively evaluate the impact of immunization programs in lowering childhood illnesses. Measles (95.0%), polio (93.5%), diphtheria (91.0%), pertussis (89.5%), and hepatitis B (90.0%) vaccination rates were all high. These illnesses were also found to have low incidence rates, with measles and polio having the lowest rates (0.5 and 0.1 per 1,000, respectively). The effectiveness of vaccination has been found to be influenced by a number of factors, including healthcare accessibility, socioeconomic position, vaccine awareness, perceived vaccine safety, and community engagement. Despite the study's general success, there is always room for improvement, especially in terms of addressing socioeconomic hurdles and preserving pertussis coverage. These results are consistent with previous research and emphasize the necessity for ongoing immunization campaigns, booster shots, and focused community involvement initiatives to improve the efficacy of immunization programs and safeguard children's health throughout the world.

Introduction

The foundation of public health is vaccination programs, which are essential for both preventing infectious diseases and advancing population health. As one of the most beneficial and economical public health interventions, vaccines have been hailed for their dramatic reduction in the incidence, morbidity, and death of a wide spectrum of infectious diseases. Immunization campaigns have a huge worldwide impact, saving millions of lives each year and shielding countless others from serious diseases and disabilities (Rappuoli & Vozza, 2022). The improvement and great implementation of vaccination programs have converted the landscape of infectious sicknesses, particularly the ones affecting children. Diseases such as measles, polio, diphtheria, and pertussis, which as soon as brought on sizable morbidity and mortality amongst kids, have been correctly controlled or eradicated in many elements of the world due to vaccination efforts (Nayir et al., 2020). The advent of the measles vaccine, for example, has caused a seventy-nine% drop in measles deaths globally between 2000 and 2015. Similarly, the worldwide polio eradication initiative has brought the world to the brink of removing poliovirus, with cases reduced through over 99% when you consider that 1988 (Schleiff et al., 2020).

Vaccination applications are not handiest essential for the direct protection they offer to vaccinated individuals but additionally for the concept of herd immunity. Herd immunity occurs when a sufficient share of the population is resistant to an infectious sickness, either through vaccination or previous contamination, thereby reducing the probability of ailment transmission (McDermott, 2021). This indirect safety is mainly critical for folks who can't be vaccinated because of clinical motives, inclusive of immunocompromised sufferers or those with hypersensitive reactions to vaccine additives. The benefits of herd immunity underscore

the importance of retaining excessive vaccination insurance within communities. Despite the clean blessings, vaccination packages face several challenges which can affect their effectiveness. Vaccine hesitancy, defined because the postpone in reputation or refusal of vaccines notwithstanding availability of vaccination services, has been recognized as one of the pinnacle ten threats to worldwide fitness through Troiano & Nardi (2021). Factors contributing to vaccine hesitancy encompass misinformation, mistrust in healthcare structures, cultural ideals, and issues about vaccine protection. Addressing these concerns through powerful verbal exchange and community engagement is critical to keeping high vaccination insurance and ensuring the success of vaccination packages.

Logistical and monetary demanding situations can also avert the implementation and sustainability of vaccination applications. In low- and center-income countries, where the burden of infectious illnesses is often maximum, restrained healthcare infrastructure, insufficient investment, and logistical limitations can impede the transport of vaccines to those who need them most (Kazibwe et al., 2021). Efforts to strengthen health structures, steady sustainable financing, and improve vaccine distribution networks are essential to overcoming these obstacles and attaining international vaccination dreams. The achievement of vaccination programs is also prompted by the development of new vaccines and improvements in present ones. Advances in vaccine generation, inclusive of the improvement of conjugate vaccines and recombinant vaccines, have extended the variety of diseases that can be avoided and stepped forward the protection and efficacy of vaccines (Ghattas et al., 2021). The fast improvement and deployment of COVID-19 vaccines throughout the pandemic proven the ability of progressive vaccine systems, along with mRNA vaccines, to reply fast to rising infectious illnesses. Continued investment in vaccine studies and development is crucial to cope with existing and rising infectious ailment threats.

The mixing of vaccination packages with other public fitness interventions can enhance their effect. Combining vaccination with nutrition packages, hygiene training, and disorder surveillance can create synergies that improve universal health consequences and enhance health structures (Decouttere et al., 2021). Integrated approaches also can increase the performance of fitness interventions and make certain that restricted sources are used efficaciously. The evaluation of vaccination application effectiveness is a vital component of public health exercise. Assessing the effect of vaccination on disease occurrence, morbidity, and mortality presents precious insights into the achievement of vaccination efforts and identifies regions for improvement. Surveillance systems, consisting of the Global Vaccine Action Plan tracking framework, play a essential position in tracking progress towards vaccination objectives and guiding policy choices. By systematically evaluating vaccination packages, public health government could make proof-based.

Method

This study employed a quantitative research design to assess the effectiveness of vaccination programs in reducing childhood diseases. Both primary and secondary data sources were utilized to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the issue. Primary data were obtained through structured questionnaires administered to healthcare providers and parents, while secondary data were extracted from existing health records detailing vaccination coverage and disease incidence. A stratified random sampling technique was employed to ensure that the sample represented various demographic categories, including geographic location (urban, suburban, and rural), age groups of parents, and roles of healthcare providers. The total sample consisted of 500 respondents, providing a robust data set for analysis. Data collection was conducted using pre-tested questionnaires that included both closed-ended and Likert-scale questions. The survey instrument was designed to gather information on vaccination rates,

perceptions of vaccine safety, accessibility of healthcare services, socioeconomic conditions, community engagement, and overall vaccine awareness. The data analysis process involved a combination of descriptive and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize demographic variables and vaccine coverage rates, while inferential methods including correlation and regression analyses were applied to explore relationships between independent variables (such as healthcare accessibility or socioeconomic status) and the effectiveness of vaccination programs, as measured by disease incidence rates. Ethical considerations were rigorously observed. The study obtained approval from the university's ethics review board. All participants were provided with informed consent forms detailing the purpose of the research, confidentiality guarantees, and their right to withdraw at any point without consequences. Data confidentiality and anonymity were strictly maintained throughout the research process. Despite the strengths of the methodology, such as its use of multi-source data and statistical rigor, the study acknowledges certain limitations. These include potential self-reporting bias from participants and the cross-sectional nature of the data, which limits the ability to draw causal inferences. Nonetheless, the methodological approach provided valuable insights into the current status and determinants of vaccination program effectiveness in the sampled population.

Result and Discussion

Public health authorities consider vaccination to be among the most influential medical interventions that cut preventable disease-related mortality along with illness severity. The success rate of vaccination relies heavily on different elements including population vaccination rates, accessibility of care centers and community involvement and social benefits. This research investigates these elements which demonstrates vital information about today's immunization programs and recommends specific improvements to strengthen medical prevention measures. The next part of this examination displays essential findings together with their significant impacts on public health program development.

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Survey Participants

Characteristic	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Geographic Region		
Urban	250	50.0
Suburban	150	30.0
Rural	100	20.0
Parent's Age Group		
18-29	100	20.0
30-39	200	40.0
40-49	150	30.0
50+	50	10.0
Healthcare Provider Role		
Doctor	200	40.0
Nurse	200	40.0
Community Health Worker	100	20.0

This table summarizes the demographic characteristics of the survey participants, providing an overview of the distribution across different geographic regions, age groups of parents, and roles of healthcare providers. The sample included 500 participants, with 50% from urban areas, 30% from suburban areas, and 20% from rural areas. The majority of parents were aged 30-39 (40%), while healthcare providers were evenly split between doctors and nurses (40% each), with community health workers making up the remaining 20%.

Table 2. Vaccination Coverage Rates

Vaccine	Coverage Rate (%)	Standard Deviation
Measles	95.0	2.5
Polio	93.5	3.0
Diphtheria	91.0	4.0
Pertussis	89.5	4.5
Hepatitis B	90.0	3.5

This table presents the vaccination coverage rates for different vaccines, along with their standard deviations. High coverage rates were observed for measles (95.0%) and polio (93.5%), indicating successful vaccination efforts for these diseases. The coverage rates for diphtheria (91.0%), pertussis (89.5%), and hepatitis B (90.0%) were slightly lower but still within acceptable ranges. The standard deviations indicate variability in coverage rates, with pertussis showing the highest variability (4.5).

Table 3. Incidence Rates of Childhood Diseases

Disease	Incidence Rate (per 1000)	Standard Deviation
Measles	0.5	0.2
Polio	0.1	0.05
Diphtheria	0.3	0.1
Pertussis	1.0	0.4
Hepatitis B	0.7	0.3

This table shows the incidence rates of various childhood diseases per 1,000 children, along with their standard deviations. Measles and polio had the lowest incidence rates (0.5 and 0.1 per 1,000, respectively), reflecting the high effectiveness of their vaccination programs. Pertussis had the highest incidence rate (1.0 per 1,000), indicating a need for improved vaccination efforts or other interventions. The standard deviations suggest moderate variability in disease incidence rates.

Table 4. Descriptive Statistics of Factors Influencing Vaccination Effectiveness

Factor	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Healthcare Accessibility	4.2	0.8
Socioeconomic Status	3.8	1.0
Vaccine Awareness	4.5	0.6
Perceived Vaccine Safety	4.1	0.9
Community Engagement	3.9	1.1

This table provides descriptive statistics for factors influencing vaccination effectiveness, measured on a Likert scale from 1 to 5 (1 = very low, 5 = very high). High mean scores were observed for vaccine awareness (4.5) and healthcare accessibility (4.2), indicating that these factors were perceived positively by participants. Socioeconomic status had a slightly lower mean score (3.8), suggesting some variability in economic conditions affecting vaccination. The standard deviations indicate that community engagement had the highest variability (1.1), highlighting differences in community involvement across regions.

The results of this study offer significant insights into the effectiveness of vaccination programs in reducing childhood diseases. The demographic characteristics of the participants, as summarized in Table 1, show a balanced representation across urban, suburban, and rural areas, as well as among different age groups of parents and roles of healthcare providers. This diversity ensures that the findings are robust and applicable across various settings, enhancing

the generalizability of the results. The vaccination coverage rates, as shown in Table 2, indicate high levels of immunization for key childhood vaccines, with coverage rates for measles, polio, diphtheria, pertussis, and hepatitis B ranging from 89.5% to 95.0%. These rates are consistent with targets set by the World Health Organization (WHO), which aim for at least 90% coverage for all vaccines in national immunization schedules. High vaccination coverage is crucial for achieving herd immunity, which protects those who cannot be vaccinated due to medical reasons (Plans, 2022; Dong et al., 2021; Ashby & Best, 2021).

The high coverage rates for measles (95.0%) and polio (93.5%) are particularly notable. These findings align with previous studies that have documented significant reductions in the incidence of these diseases following widespread vaccination (Anderson et al., 2020). For example, the global reduction in measles deaths by 79% between 2000 and 2015 has been attributed to high vaccination coverage. Similarly, the near-eradication of polio worldwide is a testament to the effectiveness of the polio vaccination program (McIntyre & Walls, 2020). The slightly lower coverage rates for diphtheria (91.0%), pertussis (89.5%), and hepatitis B (90.0%) indicate areas for improvement. Previous research has shown that maintaining high coverage rates is essential for preventing outbreaks of these diseases. For instance, pertussis outbreaks have been reported in regions where vaccination coverage has declined, underscoring the need for sustained immunization efforts (Mitiku et al., 2020).

The incidence rates of childhood diseases, as presented in Table 3, reflect the impact of vaccination programs on disease reduction. The low incidence rates for measles (0.5 per 1,000) and polio (0.1 per 1,000) are indicative of the success of these vaccination efforts. These results are consistent with historical data showing dramatic declines in these diseases following the introduction of vaccines (Arthi & Parman, 2021). Despite high vaccination coverage, the incidence rate for pertussis (1.0 per 1,000) remains relatively higher compared to other diseases. This finding aligns with studies indicating that pertussis, or whooping cough, can still occur even in highly vaccinated populations, partly due to waning immunity over time and the need for booster doses. This underscores the importance of booster vaccinations and continuous surveillance to manage and reduce the incidence of pertussis.

Table 4 provides descriptive statistics on factors influencing vaccination effectiveness, including healthcare accessibility, socioeconomic status, vaccine awareness, perceived vaccine safety, and community engagement. The high mean scores for healthcare accessibility (4.2) and vaccine awareness (4.5) suggest that these factors are perceived positively by the participants, supporting previous findings that accessibility and awareness are critical determinants of vaccination uptake. The lower mean score for socioeconomic status (3.8) indicates that economic conditions may still pose barriers to vaccination in some areas. Studies have shown that lower socioeconomic status is associated with reduced access to healthcare services, including vaccinations (McMaughan et al., 2020). Efforts to address economic disparities, such as subsidizing vaccination costs and improving healthcare infrastructure in low-income areas, are essential to enhance vaccination coverage.

Perceived vaccine safety also scored highly (4.1), reflecting positive attitudes towards vaccines among the participants. This is crucial, as concerns about vaccine safety are a major contributor to vaccine hesitancy. Effective communication strategies that provide accurate information about vaccine safety and efficacy can help to maintain high levels of trust in vaccination programs (Hwang, 2020). Community engagement had a mean score of 3.9, with the highest variability among the factors (standard deviation of 1.1). This variability suggests variations inside the stage of network involvement throughout areas. Studies have highlighted the significance of network engagement in vaccination packages, noting that communities that are actively concerned in health initiatives tend to have higher vaccination prices. Tailoring

engagement strategies to local contexts and fostering strong community partnerships can enhance the effectiveness of vaccination packages (Osborne et al., 2021). The results of this look at are constant with preceding research in several key areas. The excessive vaccination insurance prices and low occurrence quotes for measles and polio reflect the success of those programs documented in in advance studies. However, the demanding situations associated with keeping coverage for diseases like pertussis spotlight ongoing problems that have been cited within the literature (Choi et al., 2020). The elements influencing vaccination effectiveness diagnosed in this examine healthcare accessibility, socioeconomic status, vaccine consciousness, perceived vaccine protection, and network engagement are well supported through current studies (Hall et al., 2021; Lewnard et al., 2021; Pilishvili et al., 2021). The findings underscore the need for comprehensive techniques that cope with those factors to enhance vaccination insurance and decrease the occurrence of early life illnesses. The findings of this take a look at have numerous implications for public health coverage and exercise. First, keeping excessive vaccination coverage is crucial for preventing outbreaks and achieving herd immunity. Public fitness government should maintain to prioritize vaccination packages and deal with limitations to immunization, which include vaccine hesitancy and socioeconomic disparities (Tewarson et al., 2021).

The exceptionally better prevalence of pertussis suggests the want for booster vaccinations and more advantageous surveillance. Policymakers should do not forget revising immunization schedules to consist of booster doses for pertussis and different sicknesses with waning immunity. Strengthening disorder surveillance structures also can help to stumble on and respond to outbreaks greater successfully (Hao et al., 2022). Network engagement is essential for the success of vaccination packages. Tailored community engagement techniques that contain neighborhood leaders and stakeholders can assist to construct accept as true with and boom vaccination uptake. Public fitness campaigns need to attention on providing clear, proofbased records about the advantages and safety of vaccines to counter misinformation and decrease vaccine hesitancy (Ogilvie et al., 2020). The implementation of specific interventions remains necessary for overcoming socioeconomic barriers to vaccination programs. It is essential to both lower vaccination costs alongside boosting healthcare infrastructure in underserved areas as well as linking vaccine programs with social and fitness services to guarantee all children gain access to lifesaving vaccines.

Conclusion

This examine highlights the giant effectiveness of vaccination packages in decreasing youth diseases, evidenced with the aid of high coverage prices and low incidence costs for key vaccines consisting of measles and polio. Despite those successes, challenges together with retaining excessive coverage for pertussis, addressing vaccine hesitancy, and overcoming socioeconomic limitations remain. The findings underscore the want for complete techniques that include booster vaccinations, more advantageous network engagement, and centered interventions to address disparities. Continued funding in vaccination packages, coupled with proof-primarily based policy and network-focused efforts, is important to sustain progress and defend the fitness of kids globally.

References

Anderson, R. M., Vegvari, C., Truscott, J., & Collyer, B. S. (2020). Challenges in creating herd immunity to SARS-CoV-2 infection by mass vaccination. *The Lancet*, 396(10263), 1614-1616. https://doi.org/10.1016/

- Arthi, V., & Parman, J. (2021). Disease, downturns, and wellbeing: Economic history and the long-run impacts of COVID-19. *Explorations in Economic History*, 79, 101381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eeh.2020.101381
- Ashby, B., & Best, A. (2021). Herd immunity. Current biology, 31(4), R174-R177.
- Choi, J. H., Correia de Sousa, J., Fletcher, M., Gabutti, G., Harrington, L., Holden, M., ... & Maggi, S. (2022). Improving vaccination rates in older adults and at-risk groups: focus on pertussis. *Aging Clinical and Experimental Research*, 34(1), 1-8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40520-021-02018-3
- Decouttere, C., De Boeck, K., & Vandaele, N. (2021). Advancing sustainable development goals through immunization: a literature review. *Globalization and Health*, 17(1), 95. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12992-021-00745-w
- Dong, M., He, F., & Deng, Y. (2021). How to understand herd immunity in the context of COVID-19. *Viral* immunology, 34(3), 174-181. https://doi.org/10.1089/vim.2020.0195
- Ghattas, M., Dwivedi, G., Lavertu, M., & Alameh, M. G. (2021). Vaccine technologies and platforms for infectious diseases: Current progress, challenges, and opportunities. *Vaccines*, 9(12), 1490. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9121490
- Hall, V. J., Foulkes, S., Saei, A., Andrews, N., Oguti, B., Charlett, A., ... & Cowley, A. (2021). COVID-19 vaccine coverage in health-care workers in England and effectiveness of BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine against infection (SIREN): a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. *The Lancet*, 397(10286), 1725-1735. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.13.21249642
- Hao, R., Liu, Y., Shen, W., Zhao, R., Jiang, B., Song, H., ... & Ma, H. (2022). Surveillance of emerging infectious diseases for biosecurity. *Science China Life Sciences*, 65(8), 1504-1516. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-021-2071-x
- Hwang, J. (2020). Health information sources and the influenza vaccination: The mediating roles of perceived vaccine efficacy and safety. *Journal of Health Communication*, 25(9), 727-735. https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730.2020.1840675
- Kazibwe, J., Tran, P. B., & Annerstedt, K. S. (2021). The household financial burden of non-communicable diseases in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *Health research policy and systems*, 19(1), 96. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12961-021-00732-y
- Lewnard, J. A., Patel, M. M., Jewell, N. P., Verani, J. R., Kobayashi, M., Tenforde, M. W., ... & Lopman, B. A. (2021). Theoretical framework for retrospective studies of the effectiveness of SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *Epidemiology*, *32*(4), 508-517. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE.0000000000000001366
- McDermott, A. (2021). Herd immunity is an important—and often misunderstood—public health phenomenon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(21), e2107692118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107692118
- McIntyre, P., & Walls, T. (2020). Global public health impact of vaccines in children. In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Global Public Health. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190632366.013.64

- McMaughan, D. J., Oloruntoba, O., & Smith, M. L. (2020). Socioeconomic status and access to healthcare: interrelated drivers for healthy aging. *Frontiers in public health*, 8, 231. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.00231
- Mitiku, A. D., Argaw, M. D., Desta, B. F., Tsegaye, Z. T., Atsa, A. A., Tefera, B. B., ... & Muloiwa, R. (2020). Pertussis outbreak in southern Ethiopia: challenges of detection, management, and response. *BMC public health*, 20, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09303-2
- Nayir, T., Nazlican, E., Şahin, M., Kara, F., & Meşe, E. A. (2020). Effects of immunization program on morbidity and mortality rates ofvaccine-preventable diseases in Turkey. *Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences*, 50(8), 1909-1915. https://doi.org/10.3906/sag-2008-177
- Ogilvie, D., Adams, J., Bauman, A., Gregg, E. W., Panter, J., Siegel, K. R., ... & White, M. (2020). Using natural experimental studies to guide public health action: turning the evidence-based medicine paradigm on its head. *J Epidemiol Community Health*, 74(2), 203-208. https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2019-213085
- Osborne, C., Mayo, L., & Bussey, M. (2021). New frontiers in local government community engagement: Towards transformative place-based futures. *Futures*, *131*, 102768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2021.102768
- Pilishvili, T., Gierke, R., Fleming-Dutra, K. E., Farrar, J. L., Mohr, N. M., Talan, D. A., ... & Schrag, S. J. (2021). Effectiveness of mRNA Covid-19 vaccine among US health care personnel. *New England Journal of Medicine*, *385*(25), e90. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2106599
- Plans-Rubió, P. (2022). Percentages of vaccination coverage required to establish herd immunity against SARS-CoV-2. *Vaccines*, 10(5), 736. https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9030256
- Rappuoli, R., & Vozza, L. (2022). Vaccines in the global era: how to deal safely and effectively with the pandemics of our time. World Scientific.
- Schleiff, M., Olateju, A., Decker, E., Neel, A. H., Oke, R., Peters, M. A., ... & Alonge, O. (2020). A multi-pronged scoping review approach to understanding the evolving implementation of the Smallpox and Polio eradication programs: what can other Global Health initiatives learn? *BMC public health*, 20, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09439-1
- Tewarson, H., Greene, K., & Fraser, M. R. (2021). State strategies for addressing barriers during the early US COVID-19 vaccination campaign. *American Journal of Public Health*, 111(6), 1073-1077. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2021.306241
- Troiano, G., & Nardi, A. (2021). Vaccine hesitancy in the era of COVID-19. *Public health*, 194, 245-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2021.02.025